NEWSLETTER TRANSPLANT

International figures on donation and transplantation 2017



EDQM Volume 23 2018







INTERNATIONAL FIGURES ON ORGAN, TISSUE & HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL DONATION & TRANSPLANTATION ACTIVITIES. DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE EUROPEAN COMMITTEE (PARTIAL AGREEMENT) ON ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION (CD-P-TO). YEAR 2017.

Editor: Beatriz Domínguez-Gil & Rafael Matesanz

NATIONAL DATA PROVIDED BY:

EUROPEAN UNION AUSTRIA

Dave Green (ET) **BELGIUM**Luc Colenbie

Dave Green (ET)
BULGARIA

Violetta Marinkova

CROATIA

Dave Green (ET)

CYPRUS
Chrystalla Despoti

CZECH REPUBLIC
Michaela Vrbová
DENMARK

Ilse Duus Weinreich (SKT) Frank Pedersen (SKT)

ESTONIA
Virge Pall
FINLAND

Ilse Duus Weinreich (SKT) Frank Pedersen (SKT)

FRANCEChristelle Cantrelle

GERMANYBrigitte Ossadnik
Dave Green (ET) **GREECE**

Konstantina Tsaroucha

HUNGARY
Sándor Mihály
IRELAND
Lynn Martin
ITALY
Ilaria Lombardi

LATVIA
Janis Jushinskis
LITHUANIA
Vita Petronytė

LUXEMBURG
Dave Green (ET)
MALTA
Carmel Abela
NETHERLANDS
Dave Green (ET)

POLAND

Piotr Malanowski

PORTUGAL

Catarina Bolotinha

ROMANIA

Dan Adrian Luscalov

SLOVAKIA Danile Kuba Magdaléna Krátka SLOVENIA Barbara Uštar

Dave Green (ET)

SPAIN

Elisabeth Coll

Carmen Martín

llse Duus Weinreich (SKT) Frank Pedersen (SKT)

UNITED KINGDOM
Chloe Brown

(ET) EUROTRANSPLANT

Members: Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Germany, Hungary, Luxemburg, Netherlands and Slovenia

(SKT) SCANDIATRANSPLANT

Members: Denmark, Finland, Norway, Sweden and Iceland

OTHER COUNTRIES
ARMENIA
Ashot Sarkissian
AUSTRALIA
Byron Arcia
BELARUS
FedarukDzmitry

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

Lada Sarajlić
CANADA
Michael Terner
GEORGIA
Gia Tomadze

ICELAND

Ilse Duus Weinreich (SKT) Frank Pedersen (SKT)

ISRAEL
Tamar Ashkenazi

Mustafa Al-Mousawi

MALAYSIA

Raja Farah Faizura Raja

Shahruddin **NEW ZEALAND**

Kylie Hurst NORWAY

llse Duus Weinreich (SKT) Frank Pedersen (SKT)

REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

Igor Codreanu

RUSSIAN FEDERATION Lvavla Gabbasova

SAUDI ARABIA Besher Al-Attar

SUDANMahmoud Obeid

SWITZERLAND Franziska Beyeler

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC
Bassam Saeed

THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA

Goce Spasovski

Türkay Seyhan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Sarah Taranto

LATIN AMERICA

Ma del Carmen Bacqué

BOLIVIA

Adolfo Zarate Cabello

BRAZIL

Rosana Reis Nothen

Jose Luis Rojas

CHILE

COLOMBIA

Edwin Cárdenas

Adriana Segura Vásquez

Yazmin R. Arias Murillo

COSTA RICA

César Gamboa Peñaranda Martha Romero P. Roselvn Serrano V.

CUBA

Antonio Enamorado

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Fernando R. Morales Alexander Altman ECUADOR

Carlos Velasco E. **GUATEMALA**

Rudolf García-Gallont **HONDURAS**

Rubén Galeas

J. Salvador Aburto José André Madrigal NICARAGUA

Mabel I. Sandoval
PANAMA

Cesar J. Cuero Camilo Chong **PARAGUAY**

Gustavo Melgarejo Marcelo Martínez PERU

PERU

Yeny Guerra Salas **URUGUAY** Milka Bengochea

Organización Nacional de Trasplantes (ONT) - Spain

Beatriz Domínguez-Gil Beatriz Mahillo Marina Alvarez Mar Carmona

NEWSLETTER TRANSPLANT 2018



CONTENTS

• Letter from the Editor	3
• International Figures on Organ Donation and Transplantation Activity. Year 2017 7	7
International Data on Organ Donation and Transplantation Activity, Waiting List and Family Refusals. Year 2017	ļ
• International Data on Tissues and Haematopoietic Stem Cell Donation and Transplantation Activity. Year 2017	7
Council of Europe Reference Documents. Year 2017	,
Council of Europe Reference Documents. Year 2017 Guide for the Implementation of the Principle of Prohibition of Financial Gain with Respect to the Human Body and its Parts from Living or Deceased Donors	
– Guide for the Implementation of the Principle of Prohibition of Financial Gain with	3
 Guide for the Implementation of the Principle of Prohibition of Financial Gain with Respect to the Human Body and its Parts from Living or Deceased Donors	3

FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS NEWSLETTER THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS WERE USED:

Actual deceased organ donor

An actual deceased organ donor is a person from whom at least one organ has been recovered for the purpose of transplantation, in contrast to a utilised donor, who is an actual donor from whom at least one organ has been transplanted. The number of utilised donors is therefore lower than or equal to the number of actual donors.

Donor after brain death

A donor after brain death (DBD) is a deceased organ donor in whom death has been determined by neurologic criteria.

Donor after circulatory death

A donor after circulatory death (DCD) is a deceased organ donor in whom death has been determined by circulatory and respiratory criteria.

Multiorgan donor

A multiorgan donor is an actual donor from whom at least two different types of organs have been recovered for the purpose of transplantation.

Total Tx (all combinations included)

Includes the transplantation of the corresponding organ with or without the simultaneous transplant of a different type of organ (s).

Double-kidney Tx

One double-kidney Tx is counted as 1 Tx.

Tx from living donors

A living donor is a living human being from whom organs have been recovered for the purpose of transplantation. A living donor has one of the following three possible relationships with the recipient:

A/ Related:

A1/ Genetically Related:

1st Degree genetic relative: parent, sibling, offspring

2nd Degree genetic relative, e.g. grandparent, grandchild, aunt, uncle, niece, nephew,

Other than 1st or 2nd degree genetically related, e.g. cousin

A2/ Emotionally Related: spouse; in-laws; adopted; friend

B/ Unrelated = Non Related: not genetically or emotionally related

Heart-lung Tx

One heart-lung Tx is counted as 1 lung Tx, 1 heart Tx and 1 heart-lung Tx.

Double-lung Tx

One double-lung Tx is counted as 1 Tx.

Total number of patients transplanted

For more than one organ transplanted into the same recipient: kidney-liver-heart Tx = counted as one recipient.

Absolute number

Include all figures corresponding to all donors/patients adults and children.

Paediatric

Includes only paediatric activity (patients aged < 15 years).

Waiting List (WL)

Example: At 1/1/201X there were 200 patients active on the WL. In the course of the year, 100 patients are newly included on the WL (first row). A total of 300 patients have been ever active on the WL during the year (second row). In the course of the year, 200 patients were transplanted (number recorded in a different questionnaire), 50 patients remain active at the end of the year (third row), 25 patients died (fourth row) and 25 patients were excluded (number not reported, but derived from previous figures).

Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	100
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	300
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	50
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	25

Due to variations in the management of data related to the waiting list across countries, differences on the way the requested information is reported can occur. As a result, figures are estimates in some instances and should be interpreted with caution.

(*The United Nations Fund report (UNFPA: http://www.unfpa.org/public/) is used as the data source for estimates of population size)

Letter from the Editor



Letter from the Editor

Beatriz Domínguez-Gil, MD, PhD Director Organización Nacional de Trasplantes, Spain Editor of Newsletter Transplant

Dear friends

It is with pleasure that I introduce a new issue of the Newsletter Transplant, one of the most valuable tools produced by the Committee of Transplantation of the Council of Europe (CD-P-TO) in conjunction with the Spanish Organización Nacional de Trasplantes (ONT). Since 1996, this publication has allowed the CD-P-TO to share information on donation and transplantation activities in member states of the Council of Europe (CoE) – and beyond – and to present some of the projects developed by this committee and documents of relevance to the field. The Newsletter Transplant is in itself an opportunity to demonstrate the active contribution of the CD-P-TO to secure fundamental human rights, as well as to increase organ availability, improve the effectiveness of transplantation systems and enhance the quality and safety of organs, tissues and cells for clinical use.

Without doubt, monitoring of practices in donation and transplantation of substances of human origin in member states is essential for the sake of transparency and international benchmarking. This is the main aim of the Newsletter Transplant and the reason it has become an international reference. In this new issue, the Newsletter Transplant reports data from almost 70 countries throughout the world for the year 2017 (global data refer to 2016). The information presented relates to organ donation and transplantation activities, management of the waiting lists, rate of refusals to organ donation and transplant centres. For the very first time, data are displayed by gender for both organ donors and recipients. For obvious reasons, not all information that is collected from countries is displayed in the Newsletter Transplant, but additional data can be provided to end users on an ad hoc basis and upon request. Let me take this opportunity to remind you that the underlying data collection is also hosted by the Global Observatory on Organ Donation and Transplantation, developed and maintained by the ONT on behalf of the World Health Organization. The Observatory allows users to download data and create figures online. Information presented in the Newsletter Transplant is not limited to the organ field, but also covers tissues and cells. Provided by the Centro Nazionale di Trapianti (CNT) in Italy, which conducts the corresponding annual data collection, the Newsletter Transplant also presents data on the donation, procurement, processing, distribution and clinical use of tissues and cells from a significant number of countries.

This issue of the Newsletter Transplant also presents documents produced by the CD-P-TO during the last year. First, guidance on the practical implementation of the fundamental principle that "the human body and its parts shall not give rise, as such, to financial gain" is presented. This document has been produced by the Committee of Bioethics of the Council of Europe (DH-BIO), with the cooperation of the CD-P-TO. The committee has also addressed a recent proposal to include donor-recipient pairs from developing countries in kidney paired exchange programmes (KEPs) in developed countries on the basis of financial incompatibility - the inability of recipients in developing countries to afford the costs transplantation. The CD-P-TO has evaluated the proposal in detail and has raised serious ethical concerns about this initiative, recommending member states not to engage in such practices. The document summarising the position of the group is included in this new issue of the Newsletter Transplant. This global kidney exchange proposal must be differentiated from KEPs built on robust ethical frameworks. This issue includes a document elaborated by the CD-P-TO briefly describing European KEPs and addressing the challenges that existing programmes face to further increase their effectiveness and better serve the transplantation needs of patients.


The CD-P-TO has also contributed to the elaboration and dissemination of the Council of Europe *Convention against Trafficking in Human Organs* which provides clarity on the practices that must be criminalised and mechanisms to be strengthened for cooperation and


victim protection. The Newsletter Transplant includes the current status of signatures and ratifications, in what can be seen as an invitation for countries to consider the accession to this important legal instrument. Please also note the reference that this issue makes to the technical guides that the CD-P-TO produces in the fields of organs, tissues and cells, which serve as invaluable tools for regulators and health professionals throughout Europe and beyond.


Please allow me to finish this letter by thanking all those who make the *Newsletter Transplant* possible – members of the CD-P-TO, national focal points providing data on a regular basis and the EDQM secretariat. But, mostly, let me thank the members of the ONT whose continuous work, commitment and enthusiasm are essential for an exercise that every year showcases the European progress in the fascinating field of transplantation.


International Figures on Organ Donation and Transplantation Activity. Year 2017










































EUROPEAN UNION COUNTRIES (2017)





11346 ACTUAL DECEASED ORGAN DONORS (9686 DBD and 1660 DCD)

N= 28 COUNTRIES (508.9 million inhabitants)






































LATIN-AMERICAN COUNTRIES (2017)



5552 ACTUAL DECEASED ORGAN DONORS (5552 DBD and 0 DCD)

N= 17 COUNTRIES (583.9 million inhabitants)










GLOBAL ACTIVITY IN ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION (2016 ESTIMATES)



34096 ACTUAL DECEASED ORGAN DONORS (both DBD and DCD included)

- Information of 110 Member States on organ transplantation activities is included in the GODT: 80 of 2016, 12 of 2015, 6 of 2014, 7 of 2013, 2 of 2012 and 3 of 2011.












Actual donors from deceased persons, 2016*




The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

Data Source: Global Observatory on Donation & Transplantation. Map Production: Information Evidence and Research (IER)
World Health Organization












Kidney transplantation activities, 2016*



The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

Data Source: Global Observatory on Donation & Transplantation. Map Production: Information Evidence and Research (IER)
World Health Organization



© WHO 2018. All rights reserved.












Liver transplantation activities, 2016*



The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

Data Source: Global Observatory on Donation & Transplantation. Map Production: Information Evidence and Research (IER) World Health Organization




© WHO 2018. All rights reserved.







Heart transplantation activities, 2016*



The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

Data Source: Global Observatory on Donation & Transplantation. Map Production: Information Evidence and Research (IER)
World Health Organization














Lung transplantation activities, 2016*




The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

Data Source: Global Observatory on Donation & Transplantation. Map Production: Information Evidence and Research (IER) World Health Organization










Pancreas transplantation activities, 2016*



The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

Data Source: Global Observatory on Donation & Transplantation. Map Production: Information Evidence and Research (IER)
World Health Organization












Small bowel transplantation activities, 2016*



The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

Data Source: Global Observatory on Donation & Transplantation. Map Production: Information Evidence and Research (IER)
World Health Organization










Global transplantation activities of solid organs, 2016*



The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

Data Source: Global Observatory on Donation & Transplantation. Map Production: Information Evidence and Research (IER) World Health Organization



International Data on Organ Donation and Transplantation Activity, Waiting List and Family Refusals. Year 2017



						OONATIO	N AND TRA	NSPLAN	TATION AC	TIVITY										
						EU	JROPEAN U	INION CO	UNTRIES											
COUNTRIES	Aust			jium		garia	Croa		Сург		Czech Re		Denn		Esto		Finla		Fran	
Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	8.	/	11	1.4	7	7.1	4.3	NATION	1.2	4	10.	6	5.7	/	1.	3	5.5		65.	U
	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	. DMD	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP
Actual deceased organ donors (both DBD and DCD included) Actual deceased donors: Number of men Actual deceased donors: Number of DD > 60 years Actual donors after circulatory death (DCD)	213 123 75 7	24.5 14.1 8.6 0.8	348 103	30.5	43 26 11 0	6.1 3.7 1.5 0.0	140 79 80 0	33.3 18.8 19.0 0.0	10 8 0	8.3 6.7 0.0	269 167 99 13	25.4 15.8 9.3 1.2	103 56 43 0	18.1 9.8 7.5 0.0	18 14 4 0	13.8 10.8 3.1 0.0	118 65 57 0	21.5 11.8 10.4 0.0	1933 1092 933 137	29.7 16.8 14.4 2.1
			•		•		TRANSF	LANTAT	ION											
KIDNEY Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Tx from deceased donors -Tx from DCD -Single Tx -Double Tx Tx from living donors -Tx from related living donors -Tx from unrelated living donors	428 13 359 31 350 9 69 65 4	49.2 1.5 41.3 3.6 40.2 1.0 7.9 7.5 0.5	548 16 485 124 484 1 63 60	48.1 1.4 42.5 10.9 42.5 0.1 5.5 5.3 0.3	40 0 32 0 32 0 8 8	5.6 0.0 4.5 0.0 4.5 0.0 1.1 1.1 0.0	165 2 154 0 153 1 11 11	39.3 0.5 36.7 0.0 36.4 0.2 2.6 2.6 0.0	19 1 10 0 10 0 9 9	15.8 0.8 8.3 0.0 8.3 0.0 7.5 7.5	469 5 418 17 411 7 51 43	44.2 0.5 39.4 1.6 38.8 0.7 4.8 4.1	257 8 165 0 163 2 92 92	45.1 1.4 28.9 0.0 28.6 0.4 16.1 16.1 0.0	35 1 30 0 27 3 5 5	26.9 0.8 23.1 0.0 20.8 2.3 3.8 3.8	240 11 211 0 211 0 29 29	43.6 2.0 38.4 0.0 38.4 0.0 5.3 5.3	3782 75 3171 235 3127 44 611 611	58.2 1.2 48.8 3.6 48.1 0.7 9.4 9.4
LIVER Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Split Tx Domino Tx Tx from living donors Tx from DCD	161 5 4 0 3 4	18.5 0.6 0.5 0.0 0.3 0.5	305 39 9 3 33 68	26.8 3.4 0.8 0.3 2.9 6.0	13 0 0 0 1	1.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1	119 3 2 0 1	28.3 0.7 0.5 0.0 0.2 0.0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	205 19 12 0 0	19.3 1.8 1.1 0.0 0.0 0.0	57 8 3 0 0	10.0 1.4 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	10 0 0 0 0	7.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	63 6 2 0 0	11.5 1.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0	1374 74 75 7 18 47	21.1 1.1 1.2 0.1 0.3 0.7
HEART Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years	64 9	7.4 1.0	79 2	6.9 0.2	5 0	0.7 0.0	33 1	7.9 0.2	0	0.0	74 3	7.0 0.3	25 1	4.4 0.2	0	0.0 0.0	26 3	4.7 0.5	473 20	7.3 0.3
HEART – LUNG Total Tx Paediatric <15 years	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	1 0	0.1 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	6 1	0.1 0.0
LUNG Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years -Single Tx -Double Tx (heart-lung Tx included) Tx from DCD (double + single)	116 1 4 112 7	13.3 0.1 0.5 12.9 0.8	121 1 3 118 31	10.6 0.1 0.3 10.4 2.7	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	44 2 3 41 1	4.2 0.2 0.3 3.9 0.1	35 0 2 33 0	6.1 0.0 0.4 5.8 0.0	5 1 0 5	3.8 0.8 0.0 3.8 0.0	24 0 1 23 0	4.4 0.0 0.2 4.2 0.0	384 5 38 346 9	5.9 0.1 0.6 5.3 0.1
PANCREAS Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Pancreas Tx alone Kidney – Pancreas Tx Tx from DCD	20 0 0 20 0	2.3 0.0 0.0 2.3 0.0	14 0 5 9	1.2 0.0 0.4 0.8 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	5 0 4 0	1.2 0.0 1.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	39 0 5 34 0	3.7 0.0 0.5 3.2 0.0	9 0 0 9	1.6 0.0 0.0 1.6 0.0	3 0 0 3 0	2.3 0.0 0.0 2.3 0.0	21 0 0 21 0	3.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0	96 12 12 84 0	1.5 0.2 0.2 1.3 0.0
SMALL BOWEL Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Small bowel Tx alone			3 0 3	0.3 0.0 0.3	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	1 0 0	0.1 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	2 1 1	0.0 0.0 0.0
RECIPIENTS Total number of patients transplanted Paediatric <15 years Patients transplanted from living donors Male recipients	761 28 72 495	87.5 3.2 8.3 56.9	1050 58 96	92.1 5.1 8.4	58 0 9 37	8.2 0.0 1.3 5.2	314 6 12 230	74.8 1.4 2.9 54.8	19 1 9 9	15.8 0.8 7.5 7.5	832 32 51 512	78.5 3.0 4.8 48.3	372 17 92 229	65.3 3.0 16.1 40.2	50 2 5 30	38.5 1.5 3.8 23.1	351 20 29 237	63.8 3.6 5.3 43.1	5860 166 629	90.2 2.6 9.7

				DO	NATION A	AND TR	ANSPLAN	ITATION	I ACTIVIT	Υ								
					EUR	OPEAN	UNION C	OUNTRI	ES									
COUNTRIES Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	Germa 82.1		Gree		Hung 9.		Irela 4.8		Ital 59.		Latvi		Lithua 2.9		Luxemb 0.6		Malt 0.4	
					1	D	ONATION				1				1		1	
	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP
Actual deceased organ donors	707	0.7			450			20.6	4744	20.0		40.6	40	42.0		45.0	4.0	20.0
(both DBD and DCD included) Actual deceased donors: Number of men	797 433	9.7 5.3	67 39	6.0 3.5	159 87	16.4 9.0	99 56	20.6 11.7	1714 986	28.9 16.6	24 18	12.6 9.5	40 22	13.8 7.6	9 5	15.0 8.3	12 8	30.0 20.0
Actual deceased donors: Number of DD > 60 years	329	4.0	21	1.9	48	4.9	28	5.8	1025	17.3	7	3.7	12	4.1	5	8.3	2	5.0
Actual donors after circulatory death (DCD)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	1.7	53	0.9	2	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
					l	IKAN	PLANTA	IION			ı				ĺ		ı	
KIDNEY Total Tx (all combinations included)	1921	23.4	176	15.7	297	30.6	192	40.0	2246	37.8	50	26.3	76	26.2	0	0.0	21	52.5
Paediatric <15 years	65	0.8	4	0.4	5	0.5	192	40.0	53	0.9	1	0.5	3	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tx from deceased donors	1364	16.6	108	9.6	257	26.5	141	29.4	1933	32.5	37	19.5	70	24.1	0	0.0	16	40.0
-Tx from DCD -Single Tx	0 1345	0.0 16.4	108	0.0 9.6	0 257	0.0 26.5	15 138	3.1 28.8	37 1791	0.6 30.2	0 35	0.0 18.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0 16	0.0 40.0
–Double Tx	19	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.6	142	2.4	2	1.1			0	0.0	0	0.0
Tx from living donors -Tx from related living donors	557 555	6.8 6.8	68 64	6.1 5.7	40 40	4.1 4.1	51	10.6	313	5.3	13 13	6.8 6.8	6	2.1 2.1	0	0.0	5 4	12.5 10.0
-Tx from unrelated living donors	2	0.0	4	0.4	0	0.0					0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.5
LIVER																		
Total Tx (all combinations included)	823	10.0	28	2.5	74	7.6	62	12.9	1311	22.1	0	0.0	22	7.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Paediatric <15 years Split Tx	104 74	1.3 0.9	0	0.0	3 0	0.3	0	0.0	82 92	1.4 1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0 0.0
Domino Tx	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tx from living donors Tx from DCD	61 0	0.7 0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15 20	0.3 0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0 0.0
HEART	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Tx (all combinations included)	257	3.1	8	0.7	52	5.4	16	3.3	265	4.5	1	0.5	7	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Paediatric <15 years	25	0.3	0	0.0	2	0.2			17	0.3	0	0.0	2	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
HEART – LUNG	_								_									
Total Tx Paediatric <15 years	5 0	0.1 0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.4	1 0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0 0.0
LUNG																		
Total Tx (all combinations included)	309	3.8	0	0.0	21	2.2	36	7.5	144	2.4	0	0.0	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Paediatric <15 years -Single Tx	7 31	0.1 0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	4.0	3 14	0.1 0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0 0.0
-Single Tx -Double Tx (heart-lung Tx included)	278	3.4	0	0.0	21	2.2	17	4.0 3.5	130	2.2	0	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tx from DCD (double + single)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2	3	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PANCREAS	70						_	4.0	20									
Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years	72 0	0.9 0.0	0	0.0	6 0	0.6 0.0	5	1.0	39	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0 0.0
Pancreas Tx alone	2	0.0	Ö	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kidney – Pancreas Tx Tx from DCD	69	0.8 0.0	0	0.0	6	0.6 0.0	5 5	1.0 1.0	28	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0 0.0
SMALL BOWEL	0	0.0	U	0.0	U	0.0	3	1.0			0	0.0	U	0.0	U	0.0	0	0.0
Total Tx (all combinations included)	3	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Paediatric <15 years	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Small bowel Tx alone	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
RECIPIENTS Total number of patients transplanted	3285	40.0	212	18.9	441	45.5	311	64.8	3952	66.5	51	26.8	106	36.6	0	0.0	21	52.5
Paediatric <15 years	198	2.4	4	0.4	10	1.0			152	2.6	1	0.5	5	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Patients transplanted from living donors	620 2082	7.6	68	6.1	40	4.1	51	10.6	328 2702	5.5	13 27	6.8	6 78	2.1	0	0.0	5 15	12.5 37.5
Male recipients	2082	25.4	143	12.8	263	27.1			2/02	45.5	2/	14.2	/8	26.9	U	0.0	15	3/.5

				DO	NATION A	AND TR	ANSPLAN	IOITATI	N ACTIVIT	Υ								
					EUR	OPEAN	UNION C	OUNTR	IES									
COUNTRIES Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	Nether		Polan 38.2		Portu 10.		Roma 19.7		Slova 5.4		Slove 2.1		Spai 46.		Swee		United K	
ropulation (million milabitants). ON FA	17.	·	30.2		10.		ONATIO		J	•	2.1		10	•	,	,	00.	,2
	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP
Actual deceased organ donors (both DBD and DCD included) Actual deceased donors: Number of men Actual deceased donors: Number of DD > 60 years Actual donors after circulatory death (DCD)	258 141 107 146	15.2 8.3 6.3 8.6	560 368 124 2	14.7 9.6 3.2 0.1	351 217 164 21	34.1 21.1 15.9 2.0	65 39 19 0	3.3 2.0 1.0 0.0	86 59 23 0	15.9 10.9 4.3 0.0	43 23 19 0	20.5 11.0 9.0 0.0	2183 1307 1191 573	47.0 28.2 25.7 12.3	192 105 96 1	19.4 10.6 9.7 0.1	1492 857 528 594	22.5 12.9 8.0 9.0
			'			TRANS	PLANTA	TION					'					
KIDNEY Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Tx from deceased donors -Tx from DCD -Single Tx -Double Tx Tx from living donors -Tx from related living donors -Tx from unrelated living donors	979 20 428 246 425 3 551 438 113	57.6 1.2 25.2 14.5 25.0 0.2 32.4 25.8 6.6	1091 18 1035 3 1034 1 56 56	28.6 0.5 27.1 0.1 27.1 0.0 1.5 1.5	529 10 452 33 422 30 77 74 3	51.4 1.0 43.9 3.2 41.0 2.9 7.5 7.2 0.3	149 4 98 0 98 0 51 51	7.6 0.2 5.0 0.0 5.0 0.0 2.6 2.6 0.0	153 0 142 0 138 4 11 11	28.3 0.0 26.3 0.0 25.6 0.7 2.0 2.0	48 0 46 0 46 0 2 2	22.9 0.0 21.9 0.0 21.9 0.0 1.0 1.0	3269 49 2937 799 2929 8 332 318 14	70.5 1.1 63.3 17.2 63.1 0.2 7.2 6.9 0.3	474 17 349 0 345 4 125 124	47.9 1.7 35.3 0.0 34.8 0.4 12.6 12.5 0.1	3448 78 2448 944 2408 40 1000 804 196	52.1 1.2 37.0 14.3 36.4 0.6 15.1 12.1 3.0
LIVER Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Split Tx Domino Tx Tx from living donors Tx from DCD	169 17 5 0 9	9.9 1.0 0.3 0.0 0.5 3.9	373 31 0 0 24 0	9.8 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.0	259 11 1 10 2	25.1 1.1 0.1 1.0 0.2 0.0	63 2 0 1 10	3.2 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.5 0.0	32 0 0 0 0	5.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	23 0 0 0 0	11.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	1247 41 2 4 17 166	26.9 0.9 0.0 0.1 0.4 3.6	181 17 17 2 3 0	18.3 1.7 1.7 0.2 0.3 0.0	1010 97 75 2 34 195	15.3 1.5 1.1 0.0 0.5 2.9
HEART Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years	38 2	2.2 0.1	98 4	2.6 0.1	46 2	4.5 0.2	3 0	0.2 0.0	18 0	3.3 0.0	24 0	11.4 0.0	304 21	6.6 0.5	62 4	6.3 0.4	191 26	2.9 0.4
HEART – LUNG Total Tx Paediatric <15 years	0 0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	3 0	0.1 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	9 0	0.1 0.0
LUNG Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years -Single Tx -Double Tx (heart-lung Tx included) Tx from DCD (double + single)	74 0 11 63 25	4.4 0.0 0.6 3.7 1.5	39 0 15 24 0	1.0 0.0 0.4 0.6 0.0	34 0 11 23 0	3.3 0.0 1.1 2.2 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	363 1 120 243 40	7.8 0.0 2.6 5.2 0.9	65 0 5 60	6.6 0.0 0.5 6.1 0.0	198 3 25 173 40	3.0 0.0 0.4 2.6 0.6
PANCREAS Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Pancreas Tx alone Kidney – Pancreas Tx Tx from DCD	25 0 3 22	1.5 0.0 0.2 1.3	41 0 11 30 0	1.1 0.0 0.3 0.8 0.0	27 0 1 26 0	2.6 0.0 0.1 2.5 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	70 3 9 55 2	1.5 0.1 0.2 1.2 0.0	25 0 6 17 0	2.5 0.0 0.6 1.7 0.0	198 4 15 172 53	3.0 0.1 0.2 2.6 0.8
SMALL BOWEL Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Small bowel Tx alone	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	8 4 2	0.2 0.1 0.0	2 0 0	0.2 0.0 0.0	18 6 2	0.3 0.1 0.0
RECIPIENTS Total number of patients transplanted Paediatric <15 years Patients transplanted from living donors Male recipients	1262 39 560 748	74.2 2.3 32.9 44.0	1612 53 80 987	42.2 1.4 2.1 25.8	864 23 88	84.0 2.2 8.5	215 6 61	10.9 0.3 3.1	203 0 11 122	37.6 0.0 2.0 22.6	90 0 2 65	42.9 0.0 1.0 31.0	5152 111 349 3491	111.0 2.4 7.5 75.2	783 37 128 506	79.1 3.7 12.9 51.1	4871 205 1034 3004	73.6 3.1 15.6 45.4

					DONA	TION AN	ID TRAN	ISPLAN	TATION	ACTIV	ITY									
						(OTHER (OUNTE	RIES											
COUNTRIES	Arme	nia	Aust	ralia	Ве	larus	Bosnia Herzeg		Can	ada	Georg	gia	Icela	nd	Isra	iel	Kuw	ait	Malay	ysia
Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	2.9	•	24	.5		9.5	3.		36	5.6	3.9		0.	3	8.	3	4.	1	31.	6
							DON	ATION												
	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Numbe	er PMP	Number	PMP	Numbe	r PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	РМР	Number	PMP	Number	PMP
Actual deceased organ donors (both DBD and DCD included) Actual deceased donors: Number of men Actual deceased donors: Number of DD > 60 years Actual donors after circulatory death (DCD)	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	510 275 130 151	20.8 11.2 5.3 6.2	224 150 4 0	23.6 15.8 0.4 0.0	3 3	0.9 0.9	802	21.9	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	6 5 2 0	20.0 16.7 6.7 0.0	92 57 36 4	11.1 6.9 4.3 0.5	20 16 0	4.9 3.9 0.0 0.0	13 12 3 0	0.4 0.4 0.1 0.0
	,					Т	RANSPL	ANTAT	ION				'				•			
KIDNEY																				
Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Tx from deceased donors -Tx from DCD -Single Tx -Double Tx Tx from living donors -Tx from related living donors -Tx from unrelated living donors	11 3 0 0 0 0 0 11 11	3.8 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 3.8 0.0	1103 36 832 237 823 9 271 222 49	45.0 1.5 34.0 9.7 33.6 0.4 11.1 9.1 2.0	362 19 353 0 353 0 9 9	38.1 2.0 37.2 0.0 37.2 0.0 0.9 0.9	17 4 0 13 13 0	4.9 1.1 0.0 3.7 3.7 0.0	1771 1299 335 1282 17 472	48.4 35.5 9.2 35.0 0.5 12.9	21 1 0 0 0 0 0 21	5.4 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.4	8 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 8	26.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 26.7 26.7 0.0	367 15 145 4 143 2 222 113 109	44.2 1.8 17.5 0.5 17.2 0.2 26.7 13.6 13.1	89 2 29 0 28 1 60 26 34	21.7 0.5 7.1 0.0 6.8 0.2 14.6 6.3 8.3	79 0 26 0 26 0 53 53 0	2.5 0.0 0.8 0.0 0.8 0.0 1.7 1.7
LIVER Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Split Tx Domino Tx Tx from living donors Tx from DCD	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	282 40 49 0 2	11.5 1.6 2.0 0.0 0.1 0.4	79 6 0 0 3 0	8.3 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	585 9 61 53	16.0 0.2 1.7 1.4	10 0 0 0 10	2.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.6 0.0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	88 14 2 0 13	10.6 1.7 0.2 0.0 1.6 0.0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	5 1 0 0 3 0	0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0
HEART Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years	0	0.0	98 10	4.0 0.4	39 0	4.1 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	215	5.9	0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	18 0	2.2 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	1 0	0.0 0.0
HEART – LUNG Total Tx Paediatric <15 years	0 0	0.0 0.0	5 0	0.2 0.0			0 0	0.0 0.0	5	0.1	0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	1 0	0.0 0.0
LUNG Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years -Single Tx -Double Tx (heart-lung Tx included) Tx from DCD (double + single)	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	210 5 18 192 60	8.6 0.2 0.7 7.8 2.4	5 0 0 5 0	0.5 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	348 28 320 57	9.5 0.8 8.7 1.6	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	55 0 18 37 0	6.6 0.0 2.2 4.5 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	1 0 0 1 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
PANCREAS Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Pancreas Tx alone Kidney – Pancreas Tx Tx from DCD	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	49 0 1 47 2	2.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.1	6 0 0 6 0	0.6 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	76 31 45 6	2.1 0.8 1.2 0.2	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	9 0 0 9	1.1 0.0 0.0 1.1 0.0	3 0 0 3 0	0.7 0.0 0.0 0.7 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
SMALL BOWEL Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Small bowel Tx alone	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	1 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	5 5	0.1 0.1	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
RECIPIENTS Total number of patients transplanted Paediatric <15 years Patients transplanted from living donors Male recipients	11 3 11 9	3.8 1.0 3.8 3.1	1676 86 274	68.4 3.5 11.2	491 25 12 294	51.7 2.6 1.3 30.9	17 13	4.9 3.7	2972 533	81.2 14.6	31 1 31 26	7.9 0.3 7.9 6.7	8 0 8 5	26.7 0.0 26.7 16.7	520 29 235 345	62.7 3.5 28.3 41.6	89 2 60 50	21.7 0.5 14.6 12.2	86 1 56 58	2.7 0.0 1.8 1.8

						ONAT	ION AN	D TRA	NSPLAI	NTATIC	N ACTI	VITY										
							C	THER	COUNT	RIES												
COUNTRIES	New 2	Zealand	No	rway	Repub Molo	olic of lova	Russi Federa		Saudi <i>F</i>	Arabia	Suc	dan	Switze	erland	Syriar Rep	n Arab ublic	The for	v Rep.	Turk	еу	Unit	es of
Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	4	1.7	5	i.3	4.	1	144	.0	32.	.9	40	.5	8	.5	18	3.3	of Mace 2.1		80.	7	Ame 324	
							ı		NATIO				ı				1					
Actual deceased organ donors (both DBD and DCD included) Actual deceased donors: Number of men Actual deceased donors: Number of DD > 60 years Actual donors after circulatory death (DCD)	73 36 15 12	15.5 7.7 3.2 2.6	116 59 48 8	21.9 11.1 9.1 1.5	16 7 8 0	3.9 1.7 2.0 0.0	572 387 71 52	4.0 2.7 0.5 0.4	104 84 4 0	3.2 2.6 0.1 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	145 89 61 39	17.1 10.5 7.2 4.6	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	554 356 197 0	6.9 4.4 2.4 0.0	10286 6199 1288 1883	31.7 19.1 4.0 5.8
rectain deficits after circulatory death (BCB)	12	2.0		1.5		0.0	1		 LANTA			0.0	37	4.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	1005	5.0
KIDNEY			I		I			ILANSI							I						I	
Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Tx from deceased donors -Tx from DCD -Single Tx -Double Tx Tx from living donors -Tx from related living donors	187 5 118 20 115 3 69 61 8	39.8 1.1 25.1 4.3 24.5 0.6 14.7 13.0 1.7	274 6 197 14 197 0 77 77	51.7 1.1 37.2 2.6 37.2 0.0 14.5 14.5 0.0	19 0 17 0 17 0 2 2	4.6 0.0 4.1 0.0 4.1 0.0 0.5 0.5	1175 83 973 82 971 2 202 202	8.2 0.6 6.8 0.6 6.7 0.0 1.4 1.4 0.0	921 17 145 0 143 2 776 671 105	28.0 0.5 4.4 0.0 4.3 0.1 23.6 20.4 3.2	214 12 0 0 0 0 214 210 4	5.3 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 5.2 0.1	360 7 232 52 228 4 128	42.4 0.8 27.3 6.1 26.8 0.5 15.1	233 17 0 0 0 0 233 104 129	12.7 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 12.7 5.7 7.0	17 0 0 0 0 0 0 17 17	8.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.1 8.1 0.0	3342 123 693 0 684 9 2649 2321 328	41.4 1.5 8.6 0.0 8.5 0.1 32.8 28.8 4.1	20638 513 14827 2851 14524 303 5811 4687 1124	63.6 1.6 45.7 8.8 44.8 0.9 17.9 14.4 3.5
LIVER Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Split Tx Domino Tx Tx from living donors Tx from DCD	55 9 7 0 3	11.7 1.9 1.5 0.0 0.6 0.2	102 8 15 0 0 4	19.2 1.5 2.8 0.0 0.0 0.8	12 0 0 0 1	2.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 0.0	438 101 16 0 130	3.0 0.7 0.1 0.0 0.9 0.0	226 8 10 0 147	6.9 0.2 0.3 0.0 4.5 0.0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	143 9 10 1 8 23	16.8 1.1 1.2 0.1 0.9 2.7	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	1446 222 18 0 1087	17.9 2.8 0.2 0.0 13.5 0.0	8082 543 195 8 359 514	24.9 1.7 0.6 0.0 1.1 1.6
HEART Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years	24 5	5.1 1.1	32 3	6.0 0.6	0	0.0 0.0	252 3	1.8 0.0	37 8	1.1 0.2	0	0.0 0.0	40 2	4.7 0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	75 5	0.9 0.1	3273 347	10.1 1.1
HEART – LUNG Total Tx Paediatric <15 years	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	29 0	0.1 0.0
LUNG Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years -Single Tx -Double Tx (heart-lung Tx included) Tx from DCD (double + single)	20 0 2 18 1	4.3 0.0 0.4 3.8 0.2	35 0 0 35 0	6.6 0.0 0.0 6.6 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	25 1 4 21 0	0.2 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0	37 0 2 35 0	1.1 0.0 0.1 1.1 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	32 1 0 32 5	3.8 0.1 0.0 3.8 0.6	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	40 1 0 40 0	0.5 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0	2478 22 618 1860 89	7.6 0.1 1.9 5.7 0.3
PANCREAS Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Pancreas Tx alone Kidney – Pancreas Tx Tx from DCD	4 0 0 4 0	0.9 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0	24 0 13 11 0	4.5 0.0 2.5 2.1 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	6 0 0 6 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	18 0 7 11 0	0.5 0.0 0.2 0.3 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	5 0 0 5	0.6 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	1002 27 154 789 27	3.1 0.1 0.5 2.4 0.1
SMALL BOWEL Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Small bowel Tx alone	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	1 0 1	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	2 0 2	0.0 0.0 0.0	109 43 48	0.3 0.1 0.1
RECIPIENTS Total number of patients transplanted Paediatric <15 years Patients transplanted from living donors Male recipients	285 14 72 167	60.6 3.0 15.3 35.5	452 16 77 268	85.3 3.0 14.5 50.6	31 0 3 22	7.6 0.0 0.7 5.4	1890 188 332 1134	13.1 1.3 2.3 7.9	1240 33 923	37.7 1.0 28.1	214 12 214 160	5.3 0.3 5.3 4.0	577 19 137 366	67.9 2.2 16.1 43.1	233 17 233	12.7 0.9 12.7	17 0 17 12	8.1 0.0 8.1 5.7	4892 340 3736 3106	60.6 4.2 46.3 38.5	33506 1407 6173 20879	103.3 4.3 19.0 64.3

				DONA	TION AND	TRANSP	LANTATIO	N ACTIV	ITY							
					LATIN-A	MERICA	N COUNTR	IES								
COUNTRIES	Argen		Boliv		Braz		Chil	-	Colomi		Costa		Cuba		Dominican	
Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	44.	3	11.	1	209	.3 DONAT	18.°	1	49.1		4.9	,	11.5		10.8	8
	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP
Actual deceased organ donors (both DBD and DCD included) Actual deceased donors: Number of men Actual deceased donors: Number of DD > 60 years Actual donors after circulatory death (DCD)	593 372 113 0	13.4 8.4 2.6 0.0	10 5	0.9 0.5	3420 1951 386 0	16.3 9.3 1.8 0.0	173 105 19 0	9.6 5.8 1.0 0.0	437 291 47 0	8.9 5.9 1.0 0.0	22 8 2 0	4.5 1.6 0.4 0.0	142 94 14 0	12.3 8.2 1.2 0.0	14 11 0 0	1.3 1.0 0.0 0.0
					TR	ANSPLAN	ITATION									
KIDNEY Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Tx from deceased donors -Tx from DCD -Single Tx -Double Tx Tx from living donors -Tx from related living donors -Tx from unrelated living donors	1321 100 929 0 928 1 392 378 14	29.8 2.3 21.0 0.0 20.9 0.0 8.8 8.5 0.3	81 4 20 0	7.3 0.4 1.8 0.0	5946 328 4807 0 4766 41 1139 1059 80	28.4 1.6 23.0 0.0 22.8 0.2 5.4 5.1 0.4	365 24 292 0 290 2 73 73 0	20.2 1.3 16.1 0.0 16.0 0.1 4.0 4.0	936 41 798 0 795 3 138 138	19.1 0.8 16.3 0.0 16.2 0.1 2.8 2.8 0.0	91 5 40 0 40 0 51 48 3	18.6 1.0 8.2 0.0 8.2 0.0 10.4 9.8 0.6	203 7 174 0 174 0 29 29	17.7 0.6 15.1 0.0 15.1 0.0 2.5 2.5 0.0	53 1 22 0 22 0 31 27 4	4.9 0.1 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.9 2.5 0.4
LIVER Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Split Tx Domino Tx Tx from living donors Tx from DCD	452 78 40 0 43	10.2 1.8 0.9 0.0 1.0 0.0	1 0 0 0 0	0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	2118 209 19 186 0	10.1 1.0 0.1 0.9 0.0	113 26 0 10 0	6.2 1.4 0.0 0.6 0.0	282 51 1 0 39	5.7 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.8 0.0	12 4 0 0 2	2.4 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.4 0.0	22 4 0 0 0	1.9 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	2 0 0 0 0	0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
HEART Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years	117 10	2.6 0.2	0	0.0 0.0	380 41	1.8 0.2	36 3	2.0 0.2	75 5	1.5 0.1	4 0	0.8 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0
HEART – LUNG Total Tx Paediatric <15 years	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0
LUNG Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years -Single Tx -Double Tx (heart-lung Tx included) Tx from DCD (double + single)	42 9 12 30 0	0.9 0.2 0.3 0.7 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	112 2 40 71 0	0.5 0.0 0.2 0.3 0.0	17 0 0 0 0	0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0	24 0 1 23 0	0.5 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
PANCREAS Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Pancreas Tx alone Kidney – Pancreas Tx Tx from DCD	62 0 5 57 0	1.4 0.0 0.1 1.3 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	111 0 24 87 0	0.5 0.0 0.1 0.4 0.0	9	0.5 0.0	13 0 0 13 0	0.3 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	1 0 0 0 0	0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
SMALL BOWEL Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Small bowel Tx alone	5 5 4	0.1 0.1 0.1	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	1 0 1	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
RECIPIENTS Total number of patients transplanted Paediatric <15 years Patients transplanted from living donors Male recipients	1999 202 435 1167	45.1 4.6 9.8 26.3	82 4 61 45	7.4 0.4 5.5 4.1	8538 575 1323 5449	40.8 2.7 6.3 26.0	540 53 83	29.8 2.9 4.6	1307 97 177 772	26.6 2.0 3.6 15.7	107 9 53 67	21.8 1.8 10.8 13.7	225 11 29 145	19.6 1.0 2.5 12.6	56 1 31 32	5.2 0.1 2.9 3.0

				DO	NATION /	AND TR	ANSPLAI	ITATIO	N ACTIVIT	Υ								
					LAT	IN-AME	RICAN C	OUNTRI	ES									
COUNTRIES	Ecua		Guatem		Hondu		Mexi		Nicara		Panar		Paragi	ıay	Per		Urugi	
Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	16.	b	16.9		9.3		ONATION		6.2	<u> </u>	4.1		6.8		32.	2	3.5	•
	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP	Number	PMP
Actual deceased organ donors (both DBD and DCD included) Actual deceased donors: Number of men Actual deceased donors: Number of DD > 60 years Actual donors after circulatory death (DCD)	83 60 6	5.0 3.6 0.4 0.0	2 2 2 0 0	0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0	509 330 30 0	3.9 2.6 0.2 0.0	1 0 0 0	0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0	17 9 2 0	4.1 2.2 0.5 0.0	11 6 0	1.6 0.9 0.0 0.0	52 31 8 0	1.6 1.0 0.2 0.0	66 42 11 0	18.9 12.0 3.1 0.0
						TRAN	SPLANTA	TION										
KIDNEY Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Tx from deceased donors -Tx from DCD -Single Tx -Double Tx Tx from living donors -Tx from related living donors -Tx from unrelated living donors	150 19 141 0 141 0 9 9	9.0 1.1 8.5 0.0 8.5 0.0 0.5 0.5	112 14 4 0 4 0 108 108	6.6 0.8 0.2 0.0 0.2 0.0 6.4 6.4 0.0	13 3 0 0 0 0 0	1.4 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.4	3166 146 932 0 922 10 2234 1876 358	24.5 1.1 7.2 0.0 7.1 0.1 17.3 14.5 2.8	11 1 1 0	1.8 0.2 0.2 0.0 1.6 1.6 0.0	43 0 28 0 28 0 15 15	10.5 0.0 6.8 0.0 6.8 0.0 3.7 3.7 0.0	39 4 20 0 20 0 19 19	5.7 0.6 2.9 0.0 2.9 0.0 2.8 2.8 0.0	129 11 85 0 84 1 44 44	4.0 0.3 2.6 0.0 2.6 0.0 1.4 1.4 0.0	147 1 128 0 128 0 19 19	42.0 0.3 36.6 0.0 36.6 0.0 5.4 5.4 0.0
LIVER Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Split Tx Domino Tx Tx from living donors Tx from DCD	37 3 0 0 1	2.2 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	183 26 0 15	1.4 0.2 0.0 0.1 0.0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	9 0 0 0 0	2.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	2 0 0 0 0	0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	31 5 1 0 2	1.0 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0	24 1 0 0 0	6.9 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0
HEART Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years	0 0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0	33 2	0.3 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	1 0	0.2 0.0	3 3	0.4 0.4	10 1	0.3 0.0	7 0	2.0 0.0
HEART – LUNG Total Tx Paediatric <15 years	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0 0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0	0	0.0 0.0
TUNG Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years -Single Tx -Double Tx (heart-lung Tx included) Tx from DCD (double + single)	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	4 0 0 4 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	1 0 1 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	6 0	1.7 0.0
PANCREAS Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Pancreas Tx alone Kidney – Pancreas Tx Tx from DCD	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	1 0 0 1 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
SMALL BOWEL Total Tx (all combinations included) Paediatric <15 years Small bowel Tx alone	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0
RECIPIENTS Total number of patients transplanted Paediatric <15 years Patients transplanted from living donors Male recipients	187 22 10 103	11.3 1.3 0.6 6.2	112 14 108 58	6.6 0.8 6.4 3.4	13 3 13	1.4 0.3 1.4	3386 174 2249 2107	26.2 1.3 17.4 16.3	11 1 10	1.8 0.2 1.6	53 0 15 36	12.9 0.0 3.7 8.8	44 7 19 32	6.5 1.0 2.8 4.7	218 18 46 128	6.8 0.6 1.4 4.0	184 2 19 104	52.6 0.6 5.4 29.7

			WAITING L	151						
		EUROP	EAN UNION	COUNTRIES						
COUNTRIES Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	Austria 8.7	Belgium 11.4	Bulgaria 7.1	Croatia 4.2	Cyprus 1.2	Czech Republic 10.6	Denmark 5.7	Estonia 1.3	Finland 5.5	France 65.0
KIDNEY										
N TX CENTRES	7	7	3	4	1	7	3	1	1	44
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	453	559	148	242	15	496	325	44	332	5280
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	1040	648	1163	429	67	816	869	106	746	18793
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	528	793	1004	206	50	558	386	53	353	7809
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	44	31	115	12	1	37	22	3	8	352
Patients on dialysis on 31/12/2017			856					388		
LIVER										
N TX CENTRES	3	6	3	4	0	2	1	1	1	21
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	227	364	32	193	0	199	68	16	67	1899
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	276	408	84	257	0	79	90	18	73	3245
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	68	171	46	107	0	62	28	4	7	697
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	18	39	23	19	0	12	2	1	2	176
HEART										
N TX CENTRES	4	7	2	2	0	2	2	0	1	24
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	93	94	24	50	0	108	29	0	40	545
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	148	96	45	84	0	153	50	0	74	874
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	69	94	29	35	0	103	11	0	34	183
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	8	18	10	5	0	20	4	0	3	43
LUNG										
N TX CENTRES	2	5	2		1	1	1	1	1	12
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	167	140	3	0	0	76	36	4	25	425
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	257	149	15	0	0	64	65	11	56	535
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	105	105	9	0	0	58	25	5	19	112
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	7	8	5	0	0	18	4	0	4	16
PANCREAS										
N TX CENTRES	3	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	12
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	26	20	1	12	0	43	5	4	31	130
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	35	29	10	26	0	44	15	9	38	358
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	10	32	11	11	0	31	6	2	11	115
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	1	3	0	1	0	6	0	1	1	2
SMALL BOWEL										
N TX CENTRES		6	1		0	1	0	0	1	5
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017			0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017			0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

			WAITING LIST						
		EUROPE	AN UNION CO	UNTRIES					
COUNTRIES Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	Germany 82.1	Greece 11.2	Hungary 9.7	Ireland 4.8	Italy 59.4	Latvia 1.9	Lithuania 2.9	Luxembourg 0.6	Malta 0.4
KIDNEY									
N TX CENTRES	38	5	4	1	42	1	2	0	1
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	2633	206	440	200	2164	70	97	0	25
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	10930	1405	1188	685	9005	99	192	0	123
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	7924	1200	837	419	6650	46	100	0	90
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	419	34	54	15	170	0	10	0	8
Patients on dialysis on 31/12/2017		11442		2074		600	1500	0	290
LIVER									
N TX CENTRES	22	1	1	1	21	0	2		0
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	1213	41	88	72	1456	0	59	0	0
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	2524	262	206	106	2430	0	89	0	0
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	1086	154	100	35	987	0	46	0	0
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	310	28	21	9	89	0	16	0	0
HEART									
N TX CENTRES	23	1	2	1	17	1	2		1
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	429	18	82	16	407	6	28	0	0
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	1165	62	130	37	1130	6	59	0	0
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	724	43	60	14	742	4	43	0	0
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	118	7	10	0	58	1	8	0	0
LUNG									
N TX CENTRES	15	0	1	1	11	0	1		0
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	403	0	29	48	212	0	6	0	0
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	812	0	36	90	558	0	17	0	0
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	391	0	12	42	354	0	14	0	0
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	64	0	2	4	48	0	2	0	0
PANCREAS									
N TX CENTRES	28	0	2	1	17	0	1		0
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	167	0	16	5	69	1	5	0	0
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	456	0	39	18	319	1	8	0	0
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	296	0	31	14	262	1	5	0	0
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	23	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0
SMALL BOWEL									
N TX CENTRES	9	0	0	0	3	0	0		0
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	8	0		0		0	0	0	0
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		0		0		0	0	0	0
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	9	0		0		0	0	0	0
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	2	0		0		0	0	0	0

		W	AITING LIST						
		EUROPEAN	UNION COU	NTRIES					
COUNTRIES Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	Netherlands 17.0	Poland 38.2	Portugal 10.3	Romania 19.7	Slovakia 5.4	Slovenia 2.1	Spain 46.4	Sweden 9.9	United Kingdom 66.2
KIDNEY									
N TX CENTRES	11	21	8	5	4	1	40	4	24
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	1301	1202	399	516	173	38		519	2722
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	1930	2718	2410	5083	451	85	7211	1189	9069
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	673	1065	2019	4901	278	51	3942	431	5319
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	83	67	22	33	26	0		22	247
Patients on dialysis on 31/12/2017		23500	12741	9900	3300	1400	27118		3171
LIVER									
N TX CENTRES	3	9	3	3	2	1	25	2	7
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	230	417	188	101	45	31	1340	173	336
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	355	626	298	565	72	48	1985	238	1730
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	133	147	85	477	26	18	455	35	510
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	14	30	15	25	6	4	60	6	65
HEART									
N TX CENTRES	3	6	4	3	1	1	16	2	7
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	67	260	58	40	30	31	326	73	204
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	168	691	70	214	56	81	493	106	632
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	107	435	24	200	27	42	124	29	318
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	15	79	6	11	8	6	13	4	31
LUNG									
N TX CENTRES	3	6	1	1	0	0	8	2	6
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	114	85	43	1	0	0	405	67	185
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	309	150	102	4	0	0	694	103	686
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	178	83	58	4	0	0	260	22	384
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	26	20	8	0	0	0	26	8	61
PANCREAS									
N TX CENTRES	6	5	2	2	1	1	13	3	10
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	37	44	32	20	0	0	110	17	158
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	95	90	66	138	0	3	190	37	482
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	47	41	44	132	0	2	103	7	199
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	2	0	0	6	0	0	2	1	28
SMALL BOWEL									
N TX CENTRES	6	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	4
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	0	2	0	0	0	0		2	3
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	4	35
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	9
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	0	1	0	0	0	0		0	4

			WAITIN	G LIST						
			OTHER CO	UNTRIES						
COUNTRIES	Armenia	Australia	Belarus	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Canada	Georgia	Iceland	Israel	Kuwait	Malaysia
Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	2.9	24.5	9.5	3.5	36.6	3.9	0.3	8.3	4.1	31.6
KIDNEY										
N TX CENTRES	1	21	6	2	25	3	1	6	1	3
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017		851	341			0	0	324		957
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		1915	721				0			6898
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		964	326	210	2043		0	846		6115
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		10	33		84		0	38		166
Patients on dialysis on 31/12/2017		13002	3862				0	6676		43042
LIVER										
N TX CENTRES	0	8	1	1	9	3	0	3	0	2
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017		344	96				0	167		7
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		482	191				0			12
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		143	107	26	339		0	110		6
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		11	5		74		0	26		1
HEART										
N TX CENTRES	0	5	1	0	11	0	0	3	0	1
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017		20	46			0	0	45		3
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		34	113	11		0	0			8
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		8	64		107	0	0	80		7
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		0	10		22	0	0	10		0
LUNG										
N TX CENTRES	0	5	2	0	6	0	0	2	0	1
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017		23	16			0	0	98		13
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		31	41			0	0			18
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		8	36		202	0	0	102		9
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		0	0		48	0	0	20		4
PANCREAS										
N TX CENTRES	0	2	1	0	8	0	0	2	1	0
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017		53	15			0	0	3		
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		94	71			0	0			
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		60	63		81	0	0	6		
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		0	2		5	0	0			
SMALL BOWEL										
N TX CENTRES	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017		1	0			0	0			
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		5	0			0	0			
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		3	0		5	0	0	2		
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		1	0		5	0	0			

			WAITING	LIST							
			OTHER COL	JNTRIES							
COUNTRIES	New Zealand	Norway	Republic of Moldova	Russian Federation	Saudi Arabia	Sudan	Switzerland	Syrian Arab Republic	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	Turkey	United States of America
Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	4.7	5.3	4.1	144.0	32.9	40.5	8.5	18.3	2.1	80.7	324.5
KIDNEY											
N TX CENTRES	4	1	1	41	11	7	6	8	2	72	240
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017		349	31	1925	2892		428		10	6064	28191
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		795	68	5531	6502				125	27921	96766
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		346	38	4278	4650		491		43	21837	60596
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		8	0	78	161		26		27	1545	4021
Patients on dialysis on 31/12/2017			610	40000	18270	10000	384		1435	61392	737182
LIVER											
N TX CENTRES	2	1	1	24	4		3	1	0	37	144
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	-	123	32	767	316		193	·	0	2016	11422
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		240	106	1666	658		.,,		0	4222	24178
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		26	88	1087	432		116		0	2094	11087
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		3	6	141	132		30		0	444	1306
- Takenes who area while on the WE during 2017											1500
HEART											
N TX CENTRES	1	1	0	16	2		3		0	13	138
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017		35	0	405	74		58		0	521	4118
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		54	3	645	126				0	1282	7707
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		13	3	351	90		52		0	957	2994
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		4	0	42			16		0	214	359
LUNG											
N TX CENTRES	1	1	0	4	1		2		0	4	71
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017		37	0	49	40		47		0	101	2772
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		85	0	94	70				0	152	4169
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		38	0	56	30		30		0	67	1155
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		6	0	13			4		0	29	219
PANCREAS											
N TX CENTRES	1	1	0	3	2		2		0	6	135
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017		21	0	23	25		18		0	22	1312
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		41	0	160	43		.0		0	296	2647
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		20	0	154	25		11		0	279	1084
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		0	0	0			0		0	17	102
SMALL BOWEL											
N TX CENTRES	0	0	0	0	1		2		0	2	40
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	U	0	0	0	1		0		0	8	126
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		0	0	0	4		0		0	10	360
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017		0	0	0	2		0		0	5	199
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017		0	0	0	2		0		0	2	14
rations who died write on the WL during 2017		U	U	U			U		U	2	14

		V	AITING LIST					
		LATIN-AN	MERICAN COUNT	RIES				
COUNTRIES	Argentina	Bolivia	Brazil	Chile	Colombia	Costa Rica	Cuba	Dominican Republic
Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	44.3	11.1	209.3	18.1	49.1	4.9	11.5	10.8
KIDNEY								
N TX CENTRES	53	10	140	22	22	7	9	8
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	1450	56	12768	553	1278	75	200	58
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	7695		32695	1824	3339	186	400	260
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	6133	71	20570	1221	2315	192	325	215
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	492		1423	19	88			3
Patients on dialysis on 31/12/2017	29300	3825	107682		32101	168	3200	4188
LIVER								
N TX CENTRES	29	1	67	9	9	4	3	1
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	357	·	3599	171	270	13	15	11
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	1625		4829	268	451	20	30	41
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	1268		1265	97	145	20	25	32
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	203		755	31	24	5	23	7
HEART								
N TX CENTRES	22	0	44	8	8	1	1	2
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	32	U	556	49	o 75	4	8	0
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	180		827	69	96	6	5	0
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	126		277	29	90 17	6	3	0
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	50		95	1	4	1	3	0
LUNG								
N TX CENTRES	4	0	0	5	4	1	0	0
	62	U	8 179	29	4 27	1	0	0
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	253			69	50	2	0	0
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017 Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	199		354 189	26	24	2	0	0
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	199		32	26 7	24		0	0
l								
PANCREAS N TX CENTRES	17	0	17	3	5	1	0	1
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	2	U	269	5	5 1	0	0	1
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	12		765	28	14	0	0	0
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	11		543	28 17	14	0	0	0
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	0		60	0	0	0	0	0
CMALL DOWE!								
SMALL BOWEL	2	0	2	2	4	1	0	0
N TX CENTRES	2	0	2	2	4	1	0	0
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	5		2	0	4	0	0	0
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	15		2	1	5	0	0	0
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	10		1	1	5	0	0	0
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	0		0	0	0	0	0	0

COUNTINES COUN			V	VAITING LIST						
Page 1,000			LATIN-A	MERICAN COU	NTRIES					
NECESTRES S						-				
Patents included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 94 94 94 97 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	KIDNEY									
Total number of patents sever active on the WL during 2017 348 1794 1794 187 1	N TX CENTRES	8	4	3	255	3	2	4	10	3
Potentian while in the Work during 2017 1500	Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	96			5467		40	57	35	114
Patents who died while on the WL during 2017 1500 15	Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	334			17944		145	123	729	560
Patients on allysized on 31/12/2017 1500	Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	430			13228			82	600	399
NTX_CENTRES 2		32								
NY CENTRES 2 0 0 0 73 0 0 8 0 73 0 0 8 0 73 0 0 8 0 75 0 0 8 0 75 0 0 8 0 75 0 0 8 0 75 0 0 8 0 75 0 0 8 0 75 0 0 8 0 75 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Patients on dialysis on 31/12/2017	11500			187738	1850	2700	1400	12773	3038
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 33 0 250 0 8 9 61 60 Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017 12 0 323 0 6 32 28 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 6 3 0 1 1 4 0 0 1 1 4 0 0 1 1 4 0 0 1 1 4 0 0 1 1 4 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 1 2<	LIVER									
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	N TX CENTRES	2	0	0	73	0	1	1	4	1
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017 12 0 323 0 6 32 28 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 6 32 28 HEART NTX CENTRES 1 0 51 0 1 3 1 7 1 1 0 9 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	33		0	250	0	8	7	50	38
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017	91		0	627	0	8	9	61	60
MEART	Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017	12		0	323	0		6	32	28
N TX CENTRES 1 0 0 50 0 1 1 11 7 7 17 Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	6		0	44	0	1	1	4	6
N TX CENTRES 1 0 0 50 0 1 1 11 7 7 17 Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017	HEART									
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 0 50 0 1 11 7 17 17 17 17		1	0	0	51	0	1	3	1	3
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017							1		7	
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 0 9 0 0 3 0 6				0		0	1		15	
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017	,			0	38	0	0	8	5	30
NTX CENTRES 1 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 1 1 Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 0 5 0 0 0 6 Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017 0 5 0 0 2 24 Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 PANCREAS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				0	9	0	0	3	0	6
NTX CENTRES 1 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 1 1 Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 0 5 0 0 0 6 Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017 0 5 0 0 2 24 Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 PANCREAS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	LUNG									
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 0 5 0 0 0 6 Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017 0 1 0 0 0 14 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1	0	0	10	0	0	0	1	1
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017		·	-				-	0	0	6
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017 0 1 0 0 0 14 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 PANCREAS N TX CENTRES 0 0 0 0 22 0 0 0 0								0		
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 PANCREAS N TX CENTRES 0 0 0 22 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 SMALL BOWEL N TX CENTRES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0	1			0		
N TX CENTRES 0 0 0 22 0 0 0 0 1 Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 5 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>				0	0			0	1	
N TX CENTRES 0 0 0 22 0 0 0 0 1 Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 5 0 <td>PANCREAS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	PANCREAS									
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017 0 16 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	1
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017 Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 SMALL BOWEL N TX CENTRES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017			0	5	0		0	0	
Patients who died while on the WL during 2017 SMALL BOWEL N TX CENTRES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017			0	16	0		0	2	
SMALL BOWEL N TX CENTRES 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 0 <td>Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>13</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td>	Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017			0	13	0		0	0	
N TX CENTRES 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 0	Patients who died while on the WL during 2017			0	0	0		0	1	
N TX CENTRES 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 0	SMALL BOWEL									
Patients included on the WL for the first time in the course of 2017 Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017 O Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017 O O O O O O O O O O O O O		0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Total number of patients ever active on the WL during 2017 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		ŭ	ŭ							ů
Patients awaiting a transplant (only active candidates) on 31/12/2017 0 0 0 0										
	· ·			0				0		
ratients who died while on the WL during 2017	Patients who died while on the WL during 2017			0	0	0		0	0	

				FAMILY REF	USALS							
			EURC	PEAN UNION	COUNTRIE	S						
COUNTRIES Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	Austria 8.7	Belgium 11.4	Bulgaria 7.1	Croatia 4.2	Cyprus 1.2	i	Czech Republic 10.6	Denmark 5.7	Estor 1.3		inland 5.5	France 65.0
Number of interviews asking for consent to donation Number of family refusals (%)		461 113 (24.5)	43 13 (30.2)		16 5 (31.3)				28 8 (28.	6)		
COUNTRIES Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	Germany 82.1	Greece 11.2	Hungary 9.7	Irela 4.8	nd	Italy 59.4	Latvi 1.9	1	Lithuania 2.9	Luxe 0.6	mbourg	Malta 0.4
Number of interviews asking for consent to donation Number of family refusals (%)		124 31 (25.0)	218 20 (9.2)	184 35 (1	9.0)	2738 787 (28.7)	46 21 (45	i.7)	84 28 (33.3)	0 0		17 1 (5.9)
COUNTRIES Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	Netherlands 17.0	Poland 38.2	Portugal 10.3	Rom . 19.7	ania	Slovakia 5.4	Slove 2.1	nia	Spain 46.4	Swec 8.5	len	United Kingdom 66.2
Number of interviews asking for consent to donation Number of family refusals (%)		720 95 (13.2)		193 57 (2	9.5)	131 16 (12.2)	57 9 (15.	3)	2509 326 (13.0)			3265 1148 (35.2)
				OTHER COU	NTRIES							
COUNTRIES	Armenia	Australia	Belarus	Bosnia and Herzegovin	Canada a	a	Georgia	Iceland	Israel	I F	(uwait	Malaysia
Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	2.9	4.5	9.5	0.0	36.6		3.9	0.3	8.3	4	.1	31.6
Number of interviews asking for consent to donation Number of family refusals (%)		1093 451 (41.3)	34 22 (64.7)	7 4 (57.1)					156 60 (38	3.5)		334 283 (84.7)
COUNTRIES	New Zealand	Norway		Russian Federation	Saudi Arabia	Sudan	Switzer	Ára	ıb public	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	Turkey	United States of America
Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	4.7	5.3	4.1	144.0	32.9	40.5	8.5	18.3		2.1	80.7	324.5
Number of interviews asking for consent to donation Number of family refusals (%)	271		24 7 (29.2)		329 205 (62.3)	48					2046 1492 (72.9))
			LATI	N-AMERICAN	COUNTRIE	S						
COUNTRIES Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	Argentina 44.3	Bolivia 11.1	Braz 209.		Chile 18.1		Colombia 49.1	Costa 4.9	a Rica	Cuba 11.5		Dominican Republic 10.8
Number of interviews asking for consent to donation Number of family refusals (%)	1264 433 (34.3)		6477 2740	2) (42.3)	322 149 (46.3)		724 203 (28.0)	33 4 (12.	1)	164 22 (13.4)		55 32 (58.2)
COUNTRIES Population (million inhabitants): UNFPA	Ecuador 16.6	Guatemala 16.9	Hondura 9.3	s Mexi		Nicaragu 6.2	a Panai 4.1	ma	Paraguay 6.8	Peru 32.2		Uruguay 3.5
Number of interviews asking for consent to donation Number of family refusals (%)	11	12 8 (66.7)	0	946 259 (27.4)	30 28 (93.3)	34 17 (50	1.0)	20 9 (45.0)	219 167 (76.3)	3

International Data on Tissues and Haematopoietic Stem Cell Donation and Transplantation Activity. Year 2017



Preliminary European Figures on Tissue & Cell (HPC) Donation and Transplantation Activities, documents produced by the "EUROCET - European Network of Competent Authorities for Tissues and Cells" (2017)



Costa Rica

Data provided by National Competent Authorities:

EUROPE Luxembourg Brazil

Martine Debacker Rosana Reis Nothen

Austria Latvia Chile

Belgium leva Bekere Jose Luis Rojas

BulgariaRepublic of MoldovaColombiaViara AtanasovaIgor CodreanuEdwin CárdenasYordan PeevTatiana TimbalariAdriana Segura Vásquez

Yazmin R. Arias Murillo

Cyprus

Macedonia

Carolina Stylianou

Malta

César Gamboa P.

Patricia Galea

Martha Romero P.

Czech Republic
Eva Křemenová
Netherlands
Roselyn Serrano V.

Frank van Linden

Germany

Ralf Tönjes

Ralf Tönjes

Cuba

Antonio Enamorado

Dominican Republic
Penmark
Poland
Fernando R. Morales

Norway

Estonia Portugal
Pille Säälik Paulo Severino Ecuador

Carlos Velasco E.

Spain Romania

Marina Álvarez

Sweden

Rudolf García-Gallont

Mona Hansson

Anne Tammiruusu

Slovenia

Honduras

Rubén Galeas

France
Samuel Arrabal
Slovakia
Magdaléna Krátka
J. Salvador Aburto
Daniel Kuba
José André Madrigal

Greece Switzerland Nicaragua

Croatia
Milena Ivanković

Switzeriant
Barbara Schärer
Mabel I. Sandoval

Hungary

János Sajtos

United Kingdom

Rita Barallon

Turkey

Panama

Cesar J. Cuero

Camilo Chong

Ireland Paraguay
Gustavo Melgarejo
Italy LATIN AMERICA Marcelo Martínez

Fiorenza Bariani
Letizia Lombardini Argentina Peru

Liliam Santilli Ma del Carmen Bacqué Yeny Guerra Salas

LithuaniaBoliviaUruguayJustina DavainytėAdolfo Zarate CabelloMilka Bengochea

Data recorded & prepared by: EUROCET - European Network of Competent Authorities for Tissues and Cells -Team (www.eurocet.org)

					PRELIN	IINARY DA	TA ON TIS	SUES - YE	AR 2017							
						EUROPEAN	N UNION (COUNTRIE	S							
Country		Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Croatia	Cyprus	Czech	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Population (Source: Eurostat	;)	8.8	11.4	7.1	4.2	Republic 0.9	10.6	5.7	1.3	5.5	67.0	82.5	10.8	9.8	4.8	60.6
TYPE OF TISSUE	TYPE OF DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA				NO DATA	NO DATA					NO DATA		NO DATA	NO DATA
CORNEAS	N. of tissue donations			88	282	0	697		14	232	5,738	NA		NA		8,926
	Tissue donation PMP			12.4	67.9	0.0	65.9		10.6	42.2	85.7	NA		NA		147.3
	N. of tissues retrieved			158	558	0	917		28	461	11,422	4,911		493		17,599
	N. of tissues processed (units) N. of tissues distributed			0	577	0	917		36	461	11,422	5,855		493		NA
	nationally (units)			141	336	0	176		36	338	5,481	4,566		449		7,231
	N. of tissues imported (units)			6	36	46	0		0	41	0	984		0		0
	N. of tissues exported (units)			0	0	0	102		0	NE	256	80		62		652
	N. of tissues transplanted			137	344	46	419		NA	NA	4,928	NA		431		NA
	N. of patients transplanted			124	341	46	418		45	NA	4,582	NA		416		NA
	N. of transplant procedures			137	345	46	709		NA	NA	UK	NA		431		5,898
SKIN	N of tissue denotions			75	7	NA	38		6	23	262	NA		NA		412
SIMIN	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP			75 10.6	1.7	NA NA	38 3.6		6 4.6	4.2	3.9	NA NA		NA NA		6.8
	N. of tissues retrieved (cm ²)			10.6	1.7	NA NA	93,540		NA	138,030.3	522,312	87,485		250		1,126,446
	N. of tissues processed (units)			37	121	NA NA	95,540 865		24	1,173	522,312	87,485		443		1,126,446 NA
	N. of tissues distributed			37	121	INA	005		24	1,173	322,312	07,403		773		1975
	nationally (units)			0	196	NA	0		12	861	490,593	289,012		443		3,856
	N. of tissues imported (units)			0	79	NA	0		0	NE NE	0	175,761		0		0
	N. of tissues exported (units)			70	0	NA	802		0	NE	10,345	246,880		0		0
	N. of tissues transplanted			3	196	NA	UK		NA	861	490,593	NA		104		NA
	N. of patients transplanted			1	10	NA	UK		4	24	232	NA		104		NA
	N. of transplant procedures			1	28	NA	802		NA	NA	UK	NA		104		5,898
HEART VALVES	N. of tissue donations			0	5	NA	168		NE	52	319	NA		NA		251
	Tissue donation PMP			0.0	1.2	NA	15.9		NE	9.4	4.8	NA		NA		4.1
	N. of tissues retrieved			0	6	NA	168		NE	135	719	237		50		466
	N. of tissues processed (units)			0	6	NA	168		NE	135	719	434		46		NA
	N. of tissues distributed				_											
	nationally (units)			0	9	NA	114		NE	88	240	141		32		149
	N. of tissues imported (units)			0	-	NA	0		NE	NE	55	140		0		2
	N. of tissues exported (units)			0	0	NA	0		NE	NE	62	73		0		7
	N. of tissues transplanted			0	7	NA	110		NE	88	240	NA		13		NA
	N. of patients transplanted N. of transplant procedures			0	8 8	NA NA	111 114		NE NE	NA NA	236 UK	NA NA		11 11		NA 144
	14. Or transplant procedures								142							
BLOOD VESSELS	N. of tissue donations			0	4	NA	10		21	7	9,395	NA		NA		570
	Tissue donation PMP			0.0	1.0	NA	0.9		16.0	1.3	140.2	NA		NA		9.4
	N. of tissues retrieved			0	8	NA	10		42	23	10,033	171		45		884
	N. of tissues processed (units)			0	8	NA	6		48	23	10,033	303		161		
	N. of tissues distributed				_											
	nationally (units)			0	3	NA	6		30	13	2,933	101		160		453
	N. of tissues imported (units)			0	0	NA	0		0	NE	33	1		0		2
	N. of tissues exported (units)			0	0	NA	0		0	NE	28	4		0		0
	N. of tissues transplanted			0	4	NA NA	6		NA 28	13 NA	2,933 1,711	NA NA		150 135		NA NA
	N. of patients transplanted			ŭ	3		6									
	N. of transplant procedures			0	3	NA	6		NA	NA	UK	NA		142		257
MUSCULOSKELETAL	N. of tissue donations			2,631	168	NA	1,641		106	748	26,582	NA		NA		4,263
	Tissue donation PMP			370.5	40.4	NA	155.1		80.6	135.9	396.8	NA		NA		70.4
	N. of tissues retrieved			5,990	244	NA	3,877		130	1,195	26,991	13,810		1,747		9,347
	N. of tissues processed (units)			269	244	NA	3,125		248	1,195	26,991	29,459		7,277		NA
	N. of tissues distributed											40.4				
	nationally (units)			369	160	NA	1,459		200	NA	56,678	69,478		7,304		0
	N. of tissues imported (units)			0	32	NA	0		18	NE	649	10,875		0		0
	N. of tissues exported (units)			0	0	NA	1,488		0	NE	7,036	46,564		0		49
	N. of tissues transplanted			144	160	NA	725		NA 170	NA	56,678	NA		461		NA
	N. of patients transplanted			120	118	NA	643		170	NA	42,515	NA		369		NA 7.706
	N. of transplant procedures			125	118	NA	3,279		NA	NA	UK	NA		461		7,786

					PRELIM	INARY DA	TA ON TIS	SUES - YE	AR 2017							
						EUROPEAI	N UNION (COUNTRIES	5							
Country		Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Croatia	Cyprus Republic	Czech	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Population (Source: Eurosta	at)	8.8	11.4	7.1	4.2	0.9	10.6	5.7	1.3	5.5	67.0	82.5	10.8	9.8	4.8	60.6
TYPE OF TISSUE	TYPE OF DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA				NO DATA	NO DATA					NO DATA		NO DATA	NO DATA
PLACENTA/AMNIOTIC MEMBRANES	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP N. of tissues retrieved N. of tissues processed (units) N. of tissues distributed nationally (units) N. of tissues imported (units) N. of tissues exported (units) N. of tissues transplanted N. of patients transplanted N. of transplant procedures			8 1.1 7 700 400 0 0 254 162 246	1 0.2 1 64 133 0 0 0 133 114 133	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	269 25.4 270 2,064 293 0 139 509 76 77		19 14.4 19 110 88 0 0 NA 74 NA	10 1.8 10 255 NA NE 17 NA NA	224 3.3 224 3,887 3,088 0 19 3,088 2,612 UK	NA NA 205 1,893 1,951 0 74 NA NA		NA NA 0 113 101 0 0 110 77 84		273 4.5 276 NA 1,651 0 NA NA NA
PANCREAS/PANCREATIC ISLETS	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP N. of tissues retrieved N. of tissues processed (units) N. of tissues distributed nationally (units) N. of tissues imported (units) N. of tissues exported (units) N. of tissues transplanted N. of patients transplanted N. of transplant procedures			0 0.0 0 0 0	0 0.0 0 0 0	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	34 3.2 5 5 0 0 5 5 5		NE N	NE N	64 1.0 0 UK UK UK UK UK UK 33	NA NA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NA NA		NA NA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		93 1.5 93 92 33 0 0 NA NA 33
ADIPOSE	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP N. of tissues retrieved N. of tissues processed (units) N. of tissues distributed nationally (units) N. of tissues imported (units) N. of tissues exported (units) N. of tissues transplanted N. of patients transplanted N. of transplant procedures			7 1.0 8 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0.0 0 0 0	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	UK UK UK 0 0 0 0 UK UK UK UK		NE N	NE N	0 0.0 UK UK UK UK UK UK UK UK	NA NA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NA NA NA		NA NA 0 0 0 0 0 0		NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
PARATHYROID	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP N. of tissues retrieved N. of tissues processed (units) N. of tissues distributed nationally (units) N. of tissues imported (units) N. of tissues exported (units) N. of tissues transplanted N. of patients transplanted N. of transplant procedures			0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0.0 0 0 0 0	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	UK UK UK 0 0 0 0 UK UK UK UK		NE N	NE N	0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 UK UK	NA NA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NA NA		NA NA 0 0 0 0 0 0		11 0.2 11 NA 1 0 0 NA NA
AUTOLOGOUS CRANIECTOMY PIECES	N. of tissues retrieved			0	0	NA	4		NE	NE	407	0		0		0
OTHER TISSUES	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP N. of tissues retrieved N. of tissues processed (units) N. of tissues distributed nationally (units) N. of tissues imported (units) N. of tissues exported (units) N. of tissues transplanted N. of patients transplanted N. of transplant procedures			191 26.9 191 0 0 0 0 0	1 0.2 1 1 1 0 0	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	107 10.1 107 53 24 0 1 24 24 24		NE	NE NE UK NE NE 19 NE NE NE NE NE NE	0 0.0 0 UK UK UK UK UK UK UK	NA NA 132 341 632 72 98 NA NA		NA NA 38 23 17 0 0 17 12		NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA

					PREL	IMINARY	DATA ON	TISSUES	- YEAR 20	017							
					EUROF	PEAN UNI	ON COUN	TRIES							ОТН	R COUN	TRIES
Country		Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembour	g Malta	Netherland	ls Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	United Kingdom	Republic of Moldova	Norway	Switzerland
Population (Source: Eurosta	t)	2.0	2.8	0.6	0.5	17.1	38.0	10.3	19.6	5.4	2.1	46.5	10.0	65.8	3.6	5.3	8.1
TYPE OF TISSUE	TYPE OF DATA						NO DATA		NO DATA		NO DATA					NO DATA	
CORNEAS	N. of tissue donations	7	18	NA	22	1,358		517		116		3,687	612	5,854	18		817
	Tissue donation PMP	3.6	6.3	NA	47.8	79.5		50.1		21.3		79.2	61.2	89.0	5.1		100.3
	N. of tissues retrieved	14	34	NA	22	2,720		1,011		217		7,268	1,265	5,854	35		817
	N. of tissue processed (units) N. of tissue distributed	14	34	NA	22	2,717		996		217		6,880	1,530	6,203	35		NA
	nationally (units)	20	34	NA	21	1,453		922		156		3,847	1,075	5,355	36		NA
	N. of tissue imported (units)	6	0	NA	0	16		263		47		41	0	748	NE		446
	N. of tissue exported (units)	0	0	NA	0	217		0		0		176	1	119	NE		0
	N. of tissues transplanted	NA	34	NA	21	1,466		941		203		3,454	1,075	3,878	36		917
	N. of patients transplanted	NA	32	NA	21	1,448		933		186		NA	717	NA	34		NA
	N. of transplant procedures	NA	34	NA	21	1,466		944		202		3,456	739	NA	36		NA
SKIN	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0	362 21.2		0 0.0		2 0.4		338 7.3	69 6.9	22 0.3	9 2.5		NE NE
	N. of tissues retrieved (cm ²)	NE	NE	NA NA	0	1,527,400		0.0		8,850		7.3 709,477	87	22	26,017		NE NE
	N. of tissue processed (units) N. of tissue distributed	NE	NA	NA	0	15,274		0		21		401,387	76	343	183		NE
	nationally (units)	NE	NA	NA	0	1,732		44		47		421,871	72	3,885	143		NE
	N. of tissue imported (units)	NE	NA	93	0	11		44		0		50,774	14	3,636	NE		NE
	N. of tissue exported (units)	NE	NA	93	0	13,542		0		0		95,288	0	6,453	NE		NE
	N. of tissues transplanted	NE	NA	NA	0	1,192		44		47		343,616	87	9,374	143		NE
	N. of patients transplanted	NE	NA	NA	0	64		10		40		NA	37	NA	18		NE
	N. of transplant procedures	NE	NA	NA	0	NA		15		40		46	63	NA	20		NE
HEART VALVES	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0	161 9.4		33 3.2		2 0.4		250 5.4	53 5.3	227 3.4	0		16 2.0
	N. of tissues retrieved	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0	159		5.2 79		2		5. 4 474	278	227	0.0		16
	N. of tissue processed (units)	NE	NE	NA	0	120		62		2		474	278	169	0		NA
	N. of tissue distributed																
	nationally (units)	NE	NE	NA	0	107		10		4		168	87	375	0		NA
	N. of tissue imported (units)	NE	NE NE	NA NA	0	26		0		0		0	0 67	110	NE NE		18
	N. of tissue exported (units)	NE			0	13				-		100		271			16
	N. of tissues transplanted N. of patients transplanted	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0	133 133		10 10		4 4		60 NA	129 48	424 NA	0		16 NA
	N. of transplant procedures	NE	NE	NA	0	133		10		4		60	49	NA	0		NA
BLOOD VESSELS	N. of tissue donations	NE	NE	NA	0	18		0		0		153	116	389	8		4
	Tissue donation PMP	NE	NE	NA	0	1.1		0.0		0.0		3.3	11.6	5.9	2.3		0.5
	N. of tissues retrieved	NE	NE	NA	0	83		0		0		329	336	389	31		4
	N. of tissue processed (units) N. of tissue distributed	NE	NE	NA	0	83		0		0		367	336	429	0		NA
	nationally (units)	NE	NE	NA	0	29		0		0		263	42	815	0		NA
	N. of tissue imported (units)	NE	NE	NA	0	25		0		0		0	0	0	NE		32
	N. of tissue exported (units)	NE	NE	NA	0	13		0		0		38	20	0	NE		4
	N. of tissues transplanted	NE	NE	NA	0	54		0		0		164	27	167	0		32
	N. of patients transplanted	NE	NE	NA	0	54		0		0		NA	12	NA	0		NA
	N. of transplant procedures	NE	NE	NA	0	54		0		0		124	12	NA	0		NA
MUSCULOSKELETAL	N. of tissue donations	25	28	NA	0	3,324		44		398		2,222	1,432	3,289	39		234
	Tissue donation PMP	12.8	9.8	NA	0	194.6		4.3		73.2		47.8	143.3	50.0	11.0		28.7
	N. of tissues retrieved N. of tissue processed (units)	25 97	58 58	NA NA	0	10,064 10,247		214 449		1,924 2,029		20,212 19,774	1,675 1,688	3,262 2,272	92 313		234 NA
	N. of tissue distributed nationally (units)	65	11	627	0	3,241		367		628		13,341	1,291	26,413	335		NA
	N. of tissue imported (units)	0	NE	4,329	0	3,241 747		367 42		028		311	215	23,383	NE		NA 24
	N. of tissue exported (units)	0	NE	3,702	0	41,090		0		1,154		13	0	10,813	NE NE		0
	N. of tissues transplanted	NA	11	NA	0	6,170		407		566		16,977	1,260	16,616	303		334
	N. of patients transplanted	NA	11	NA	0	5,341		293		339		NA	1,066	NA	131		NA
			11	NA	0	NA		388				11,856	1,101	NA	131		NA

					PREL	IMINARY	DATA ON	TISSUES	- YEAR 20	017							
					EURO	PEAN UN	ION COUN	TRIES							ОТН	ER COUN	TRIES
Country		Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembou	ırg Malta	Netherlan	ds Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	United Kingdom	Republic of Moldova	Norway	Switzerland
Population (Source: Eurosta	t)	2.0	2.8	0.6	0.5	17.1	38.0	10.3	19.6	5.4	2.1	46.5	10.0	65.8	3.6	5.3	8.1
TYPE OF TISSUE	TYPE OF DATA						NO DATA		NO DATA		NO DATA					NO DATA	
PLACENTA/AMNIOTIC MEMBRANES	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP	0 0.0	4 1.4	NA NA	0	1 0.1		3 0.3		25 4.6		80 1.7	9 0.9	NA 0.0	NE 0.0		256 31.4
IVIEIVIDRAINES	N. of tissues retrieved	0	4	NA	0	5		3		25		2,066	9	NA	NE		256
	N. of tissue processed (units) N. of tissue distributed	NE	70	NA	0	500		203		284		2,260	359	819	150		NA
	nationally (units) N. of tissue imported (units)	NE NE	28 0	NA NA	0	149 0		239 0		250 0		1,563 8	271 0	2,097 52	69 NE		NA 32
	N. of tissue exported (units) N. of tissues transplanted	NE NE	0 54	NA NA	0	260 85		0 237		0 251		62 1,714	0 271	17,970 19,092	NE 69		0 158
	N. of patients transplanted	NE	48	NA	0	78		169		211		NA	143	NA	57		NA
	N. of transplant procedures	NE	54	NA	0	78		198		237		1,612	164	NA	62		NA
PANCREAS/PANCREATIC ISLETS	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0 0	60 3.5		NA NA		NE NE		138 3.0	NA 0.0	NA 0.0	NE 0.0		126 15.5
	N. of tissues retrieved N. of tissue processed (units)	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0 0	90 62		NA NA		NE NE		70 NA	NA 61	NA 106	NE NE		28 NA
	N. of tissue distributed nationally (units)	NE	NE	NA	0	8		NA		NE		NA	12	140	NE		NA
	N. of tissue imported (units) N. of tissue exported (units)	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0	0		NA NA		0 NE		NA NA	0 5	0	NE NE		0
	N. of tissues transplanted	NE	NE	NA	0	8		NA		NE		NA	17	24	NE		14
	N. of patients transplanted N. of transplant procedures	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0 0	8 8		NA NA		NE NE		NA NA	3 17	NA NA	NE NE		NA NA
ADIPOSE	N. of tissue donations	0	41	NA	0	0		NA		3		NA	NE	635	0		NE
	Tissue donation PMP N. of tissues retrieved	0.0	14.4 162	NA NA	0	0.0		NA NA		0.6 3		0.0 NA	0.0 NE	9.6 635	0.0		NE NE
	N. of tissue processed (units) N. of tissue distributed	NE	162	NA	0	0		NA		3		NA	NE	59	0		NE
	nationally (units) N. of tissue imported (units)	NE NE	45 0	NA NA	0	0		NA NA		0		NA NA	NE NE	252 3	0 NE		NE NE
	N. of tissue exported (units)	NE	0	NA	0	0		NA		0		NA	NE	0	NE		NE
	N. of tissues transplanted N. of patients transplanted	NA NA	45 41	NA NA	0	0		NA NA		2		NA NA	NE NE	675 NA	0		NE NE
	N. of transplant procedures	NA	162	NA	0	0		NA		2		NA	NE	NA	0		NE
PARATHYROID	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0	0 0.0		NA NA		NE 0.0		NA 0.0	0 0.0	NA 0.0	NE 0.0		NE NE
	N. of tissues retrieved	NE	NE	NA	0	0		NA		NE		NA	NE	NA	NE		NE
	N. of tissue processed (units) N. of tissue distributed	NE	NE	NA	0	0		NA		NE		NA	NE	NA	NE		NE
	nationally (units) N. of tissue imported (units)	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0	0		NA NA		NE 0		NA NA	NE NE	NA NA	NE NE		NE NE
	N. of tissue exported (units)	NE	NE	NA	0	0		NA		0		NA	NE NE	NA	NE NE		NE
	N. of tissues transplanted N. of patients transplanted	NE NE	NE NE	NA NA	0	0		NA NA		NE NE		NA NA	NE NE	NA NA	NE NE		NE NE
	N. of transplant procedures	NE	NE	NA	0	0		NA		NE		NA	NE	NA	NE		NE
AUTOLOGOUS CRANIECTOMY PIECES	N. of tissues retrieved	NE	5	NA	0	135		NA		145		NA	79	27	3		NE
OTHER TISSUES	N. of tissue donations Tissue donation PMP	123 63.1	0 0.0	NA NA	0	22 1.3		0 0.0		138 25.4		NA 0.0	0 0.0	22,638 344.0	0 0.0		NE NE
	N. of tissues retrieved	123	0	NA	0	48		0		138		NA	0	22,638	0		NE
	N. of tissue processed (units) N. of tissue distributed	0	NE	NA	0	1,352		0		62		NA	0	18,497	0		NE
	nationally (units) N. of tissue imported (units)	0	NE NE	NA 5	0	88 8		0		35 0		NA NA	0	4,231 9,240	0 NE		NE NE
	N. of tissue exported (units)	0	NE	5	0	0		0		24		NA	0	18,822	NE		NE
	N. of tissues transplanted N. of patients transplanted	NA NA	NE NE	NA NA	0	1 1		0		35 27		NA NA	0	1,958 NA	0		NE NE
	N. of transplant procedures	NA	NE	NA	0	1		0		32		NA	0	NA	0		NE

					PREL	.IMINARY	DATA O	N TISSUI	ES - YEAR	2017								
						LATI	N-AMERIC	AN COUN	ITRIES									
Country		Argentina	Bolivia	Brazil	Chile	Colombia	Costa Rica	Cuba	Dominican Republic	Ecuador	Guatemala	Honduras	Mexico	Nicaragua	Panama	Paraguay	Peru	Uruguay
Population (Source: UNFPA. State of World Population.									·									
2017 - Million)		44.3	11.1	209.3	18.1	49.1	4.9	11.5	10.8	16.6	16.9	9.3	129.2	6.2	4.1	6.8	32.2	3.5
TYPE OF TISSUE	TYPE OF DATA							NO DATA	NO DATA		NO DATA	NO DATA					NO DATA	
CORNEAS	N. of tissue donations	821	0	34,787	86	1,160	297			128			3,710	0	5	80		96
	Tissue donation PMP	18.5	0.0	166.2	4.8	23.6	60.6			7.7			28.7	0.0	1.2	11.8		27.4
	N. of tissues retrieved	1,722	0	30,735	172	1,705	297			128			3,646	0	9	72		223
	N. of tissues transplanted	1,104	43	15,242	NA	2,214	NA			NA			3,798	0	0	72		NA
	N. of patients transplanted	1,104	43	NA	79	NA	NA			NA			3,798	0	0	72		190
	N. of transplant procedures	1,104	43	15,242	75	NA	297			229			3,798	0		72		201
BLOOD VESSELS	N. of tissue donations	0	0	0	0	37	10			0			0	0	0	0		14
	Tissue donation PMP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	2.0			0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.0
	N. of tissues retrieved	0	0	0	0	0	10			0			0	0	0	0		26
	N. of tissues transplanted	0	0	0	0	55	NA			0			0	0	0	0		NA
	N. of patients transplanted	0	0	0	0	NA	NA			0			0	0	0	0		13
	N. of transplant procedures	0	0	0	0	NA	10			0			0	0	0	0		14
HEART VALVES	N. of tissue donations	215	0	247	5	1	10			0			7	0	0	0		0
	Tissue donation PMP	4.9	0.0	1.2	0.3	0.0	2.0			0.0			0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
	N. of tissues retrieved	772	0	480	5	2	10			0			7	0	0	0		0
	N. of tissues transplanted	140	0	114	NA	23	NA			0			4	0	0	0		0
	N. of patients transplanted	140	0	NA	NA	NA	NA			0			4	0	0	0		1
	N. of transplant procedures	140	0	114	NA	NA	10			0			4	0	0	0		1
MUSCULOSKELETAL	N. of tissue donations	141	0	1,522	1	826	32			0			322	0	0	0		18
	Tissue donation PMP	3.2	0.0	7.3	0.1	16.8	6.5			0.0			2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		5.1
	N. of tissues retrieved	4,217	0	13,153	1	594	32			0			317	0	0	0		25
	N. of tissues transplanted	6,473	0	14,670	NA	8,574	NA			0			0	0	0	0		NA
	N. of patients transplanted	4,721	0		NA	NA	NA			0			0	0	0	0		451
	N. of transplant procedures	6,473	0	14,670	NA	NA	32			0			0	0	0	0		111
PLACENTA/AMNIOTIC	N. of tissue donations	56	0	0	0	54	11			0			0	0	0	0		0
MEMBRANE	Tissue donation PMP	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	2.2			0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
	N. of tissues retrieved	56	0	0	0	54	11			0			0	0	0	0		0
	N. of tissues transplanted	591	0	0	NA	381	NA			0			0	0	0	0		NA
	N. of patients transplanted	515		0	NA	NA	NA			0			0	0	0	0		55
	N. of transplant procedures	NA	0	0	NA	NA	11			0			0	0	0	0		230
SKIN	N. of tissue donations	62	0	202	1	85	2			0			106	0	0	0		22
	Tissue donation PMP	1.4	0.0	1.0	0.1	1.7	0.4			0.0			0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0		6.3
	N. of tissues retrieved	44,016	0	121,467	3,031	85	2			0			82	0	0	0		44,500
	N. of tissues transplanted	452	0	87,738	NA	52	NA			0			0	0	0	0		NA
	N. of patients transplanted	61	0	NA	NA	NA	NA			0			0	0	0	0		18
	N. of transplant procedures	61	0	87,738	NA	NA	2			0			0	0	0	0		574
OTHERS TISSUES																		
	N. of tissue donations	0	0	0	0	0	0			64			0	0	0	0		6
	Tissue donation PMP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			3.9			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		1.7
	N. of tissues retrieved	0	0	0	0	0	0			427			0	11	0	0		11
	N. of tissues transplanted	0	0	0	NA	UK	0			NA			0	0	0	0		NA
	N. of patients transplanted	0	0	0	NA	NA	0			NA			0	0	0	0		NA

		PRELIMI	NARY D	ATA ON	HAEMAT	OPOIETI	C STEM C	ELLS - Y	EAR 201	7						
				EURO	PEAN UN	IION COL	JNTRIES									
Country		Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Croatia	Cyprus	Czech	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Population (Source: Eurostat	t)	8.8	11.4	7.1	4.2	0.9	Republic 10.6	5.7	1.3	5.5	67.0	82.5	10.8	9.8	4.8	60.6
CATEGORY OF DATA	TYPE OF DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA					NO DATA		NO DATA			NO DATA	A	NO DATA	
POTENTIAL DONATION AND SEARCHING IN NATIONAL																
REGISTRIES	N. of potential donors at 31.12.17			NA	49,836	152,794	105,791		NA		278,125	NA		NA		392,873
	N. of cord blood units at 31.12.17			NA	3,305	4,055	4,198		NE		36,191	NA		NA		35,247
	N. of searches requested			0	635	83	64,888		NA		26,740	NA		NA		3,304
	N. of unrelated donations			0	52	55	105		NA		1,217	NA		NA		888
DONATION	N. of donations - Autologous			68	529	1,335	788		47		3,617	4,300		179		2,981
	N. of donations - Allogenic			15	1,206	1,837	114		4		1,250	8,135		7		1,361
	N. of donations - Allogenic, related			15	50	11	62		4		903	970		7		1,104
	N. of donations - Allogenic, unrelated			0	1,156	1,826	52		0		347	7,165		0		257
BANKING OF CORD BLOOD	N. of unrelated cord blood units collected			62	1,141	1,777	358		NE		1,254	657		0		11,735
	N. of unrelated cord blood units distributed			0	1	0	0		NE		152	15		0		30
	N. of related cord blood units collected			0	10	8	26		NE		NA	13		4,173		161
	N. of related cord blood units distributed			0	0	8	0		NE		NA	0		1		8
TRANSPLANT	N. of transplants - Autologous			51	164	26	489		48		3,321	4,201		171		2,981
	N. of patients transplanted - Autologous			43	134	26	382		NA		3,197	3,542		170		2,458
	N. of transplants - Allogenic			33	80	0	243		17		1,908	3,180		12		1,957
	N. of patients transplanted - Allogenic			32	77	0	227		NA		NA	3,005		12		1,825
	N. of transplants - Allogenic, related			13	35	0	82		4		904	913		7		1,104
	N. of patients transplanted - Allogenic, related			13	34	0	79		NA		NA	853		7		1,015
	N. of transplants - Allogenic, unrelated			20	45	0	161		13		998	2,267		5		853
	N. of patients transplanted - Allogenic, unrelate	d		19	43	0	148		NA		NA	2,152		5		810

				PRELIMIN	IARY DA	TA ON HAE	MATOPOI	ETIC STEM	CELLS - Y	'EAR 2017	·					
						EUROPE	AN UNION	N COUNTR	IES						OTHER C	OUNTRIES
Country		Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	United Kingdom	Republic o Moldova	f Norway
Population (Font: Euro	ostat)	2.0	2.8	0.6	0.5	17.1	38.0	10.3	19.6	5.4	2.1	46.5	10.0	65.8	3.6	5.3
CATEGORY OF DATA	TYPE OF DATA			NO DATA			NO DATA		NO DATA		NO DATA					NO DATA
POTENTIAL DONATION AND SEARCHING IN NATIONAL REGISTRIES	N. of potential donors															
REGISTRIES	at 31.12.17 N. of cord blood units	UK	11,847		0	190,657		397,521		15,417		322,530	NA	NA	NE	
	at 31.12.17	UK	1,567		0	4,636		9,334		1,742		65,155	NA	NA	NE	
	N. of searches requested	UK	NA		0	609		2,616		23,833		926	NA	NA	NE	
	N. of unrelated donations	UK	NA		0	413		131		46		689	NA	NA	NE	
DONATION	N. of donations - Autologous	UK	409		0	3,173		419		3,294		2,090	735	8,483	19	
		188	52		0	580		173		78		871	622	10,230	NE	
	related N. of donations - Allogenic,	188	28		0	243		76		65		767	99	NA	NE	
	unrelated	UK	24		0	337		97		13		104	523	NA	NE	
BANKING OF																
CORD BLOOD	N. of unrelated cord															
	blood units collected N. of unrelated cord	UK	NE		0	251		1,578		6		1,606	371	NA	NE	
	blood units distributed N. of related cord	UK	NE		0	80		1		3		201	9	NA	NE	
	blood units collected N. of related cord	188	113		0	3		10		20		NA	1	NA	NE	
		0	0		0	1		0		0		1	0	NA	NE	
TRANSPLANT	N. of transplants -															
	Autologous N. of patients transplanted -	UK	165		0	3,723		379		149		2,090	482	NA	18	
	Autologous	UK	109		0	1,055		361		118		NA	447	NA	18	
	N. of transplants - Allogenic	UK	64		0	776		148		82		1,231	267	NA	NE	
	N. of patients transplanted - Allogenic	UK	58		0	704		146		69		NA	257	NA	NE	
	N. of transplants - Allogenic,															
	related N. of patients transplanted -	UK	16		0	259		63		35		767	80	NA	NE	
	Allogenic, related N. of transplants - Allogenic,	UK	15		0	216		62		27		NA	79	NA	NE	
	unrelated	UK	48		0	517		85		47		464	187	NA	NE	
	N. of patients transplanted - Allogenic, unrelated	UK	43		0	488		84		42		NA	178	NA	NE	

			PRELI	MINARY	DATA	ON HAEM	IATOP	OIETIC S	TEM CELL	S - YEA	R 2017							
					L	ATIN-AMI	ERICAN	COUNT	RIES									
Country		Argentina	Bolivia	Brazil	Chile	Colombia	Costa Rica	Cuba	Dominican Republic	Ecuador	Guatemala	Honduras	Mexico	Nicaragua	Panama	Paraguay	Peru	Uruguay
Population (Source: UNFPA, State of World Population 2017 - Million)	n,	44.3	11.1	209.3	18.1	49.1	4.9	11.5	10.8	16.6	16.9	9.3	129.2	6.2	4.1	6.8	32.2	3.5
CATEGORY OF DATA	TYPE OF DATA							NO DATA	A NO DATA		NO DATA	NO DATA					NO DAT	A
POTENTIAL DONATION AND SEARCHING IN NATIONAL REGISTRIES	N. of potential donors at 31.12.17	151,928	0	4,384,407	7 NA	0	64			0			0	0	0	0		1,135
	N. of cord blood units at 31.12.17	3,364	0	15,030	NA	0	0			0			0	0	0	0		25
	N. of searches requested	767	0	14,781	NA	0	0			0			0	0	0	0		36
BANKING OF CORD BLOOD	N. of unrelated cord blood units at 31.12.17	3,364	0	14,620	0	0	0			0			0	0	0	0		0
	N. of related cord blood units at 31.12.17	0	0	0	0	0	0			0			0	0	0	0		25
TRANSPLANT	N. of transplants - Autologous	635	0	1,454	0	0	75			34			0	0	20	23		101
	N. of patients transplanted - Autologous	625	0	0	0	0	0			34			0	0	20	23		0
	N. of transplants - Allogenic	359	0	934	0	84	3			34			0	0	30	1		37
	N. of patients transplanted - Allogenic	348	0	377	0	0	0			34			0	0	30	1		0
	N. of transplants - Allogenic, related	254	0	542	0	77	0			27			0	0	5	1		32
	N. of patients transplanted - Allogenic, related	250	0	0	0	0	0			27			0	0	5	1		0
	N. of transplants - Allogenic, unrelated	105	0	392	0	7	3			7			0	0	25	0		5
	N. of patients transplanted - Allogenic, unrelated	98	0	377	0	0	0			7			0	0	25	0		0

Council of Europe Reference Documents. Year 2017



Guide for the Implementation of the Principle of Prohibition of Financial Gain with Respect to the Human Body and its Parts from Living or Deceased Donors

Foreword

The drafting of this guide was initiated by the Committee on Bioethics (DH-BIO), with a view to clarifying the terms and facilitating the implementation of the principle of prohibition of financial gain laid down in Article 21 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being with regard to the Application of Biology and Medicine: the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine (ETS No. 164; the Oviedo Convention), as well as in its Additional Protocol concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin (CETS No. 186). According to this principle, "the human body and its parts shall not, as such, give rise to financial gain".

In February 2016, the DH-BIO set up an ad hoc working group on the prohibition of financial gain as a follow-up to the to the Statement on the prohibition of any form of commercialisation of human organs adopted by the DH-BIO and the European Committee on Organ Transplantation (CD-P-TO) in May 2014, and then taken up in parallel statements by the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) Committee on Social Affairs, Health and Sustainable Development (June 2014) and by the Committee of Ministers (July 2014).

The ad hoc working group was composed of representatives of DH-BIO and experts of other relevant Council of Europe committees, namely the CD-P-TO and the European Committee on Blood Transfusion (CD-P-TS). The World Health Organization (WHO) and the European Commission also participated in the meetings of the ad hoc group. The group was initially chaired by Ms Doris Wolfslehner (Austria), from the DH-BIO Bureau, but following a change in her position at national level and departure from the DH-BIO, Ms Ritva Halila (Finland), also a DH-BIO Bureau member, took over as chair of the ad hoc group.

The preliminary draft of this guide was prepared by the ad hoc group in the course of three meetings held in 2016. The text was then forwarded to the DH-BIO, which conducted an editorial revision on it in 2017, and

subsequently adopted it on 4 December 2017. The guide was then sent to the CD-P-TO and the CD-P-TS. The CD-P-TO adopted the guide on 11 January 2018.

Introduction

- 1. This document gives guidance on how to interpret the principle of the prohibition of financial gain with respect to the human body and its parts from living or deceased donors, as laid down in Article 21 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being with regard to the Application of Biology and Medicine: Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine (ETS No. 164; the Oviedo Convention), in order to facilitate its implementation.
- 2. The principle of the prohibition of financial gain with respect to donation has the purpose of ensuring respect for:
 - the dignity of living donors and recipients and for their human rights;
 - the inalienability of the body of the deceased donor.

It also contributes to:

- promoting altruistic donation; and
- the safety and quality of donated human body parts, contributing thereby to maintaining a donation system in which people can trust.
- 3. Financial gain with respect to the human body and its parts, as such, includes payments or inducements in kind either directly to living donors, to the families of deceased donors or to another third party. It may have the effect of influencing the most vulnerable people in society, and expose them to exploitative actions.
- 4. The prohibition of financial gain does not prevent:
 - compensation of living donors for loss of earnings and reimbursement of any other justifiable expenses

- caused by the removal of organs, tissues or cells, or by the related medical examinations;
- compensation in case of undue damage resulting from the removal of organs, tissues or cells.

The donation should therefore be financially neutral for the donor.

- 5. Furthermore, the prohibition of financial gain does not hinder payment of a justifiable fee for medical or related technical services rendered in connection with the donation.
- 6. The principle applies to any donation of the human body or its parts regardless of the purpose of donation.

Legal instruments and professional standards referring to the principle of the prohibition of financial gain

- 7. The prohibition of financial gain from the human body or its parts, as such, is set out in Article 21 of:
 - the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine, which states that, "The human body and its parts shall not, as such, give rise to financial gain";
 - the Additional Protocol concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin (ETS No. 186), which states that, "The human body and its parts shall not, as such, give rise to financial gain or comparable advantage".
- 8. The Additional Protocol to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine concerning Biomedical Research (CETS No. 195) furthermore contains a reference to undue influence, including that of a financial nature, which shall not be exerted on persons to participate in research.
- 9. The Council of Europe Convention against Trafficking in Human Organs (CETS No. 216) refers to the notion of financial gain or comparable advantage as grounds for qualifying the illicit removal of human organs from living or deceased donors as a criminal offence.
- 10. The principle of the prohibition of financial gain is intrinsically linked to the prohibition of organ and tissue trafficking, laid down in Article 22 of the Additional Protocol concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin.
- 11. The Explanatory Report to the Additional Protocol concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin, with regard to Article 22, gives examples of why trade in organs and tissues, as such, for direct or indirect financial gain must be prohibited, namely the risk of coercion being exercised by traffickers, either in addition to, or as an alternative to, offering inducements.

These exploitative practices then lead to the undermining of people's trust in the donation system.

- 12. The principle of the prohibition of financial gain has been reiterated by the Committee on Bioethics (DH-BIO) and the European Committee on Organ Transplantation (CD-P-TO) in their joint statement on the prohibition of any form of commercialisation of human organs, which was also adopted by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe. The principle likewise is referred to in Recommendation No. R (95) 14 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the protection of the health of donors and recipients in the area of blood transfusion, as well as in the CD-P-TO Guide to the quality and safety of tissues and cells for human application and the Guide to the quality and safety of organs for transplantation.
- 13. Furthermore, the principle of the prohibition of financial gain is also set out in Article 3(2), sub-paragraph 3, of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (EU).
- 14. In addition, several EU directives refer to the notion of "voluntary unpaid donations", in particular those concerning human blood and blood components (Directive 2002/98/EC), human tissues and cells (Directive 2004/23/EC), and human organs intended for transplantation (Directive 2010/53/EU).
- 15. The prohibition of financial gain is likewise reflected in the World Health Organization (WHO) Guiding Principles on Human Cell, Tissue and Organ Transplantation (Guiding Principle 5).
- 16. Finally, there are international professional standards which likewise reiterate the principle of the prohibition of financial gain, in particular the Declaration of Istanbul on organ trafficking and transplant tourism.

Reimbursement of justifiable expenses and compensation for loss of earnings for living donors

- 17. The Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine states that the reimburse- ment of expenses incurred and compensation for loss of earnings are acceptable. This is reiterated in the Additional Protocol concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin, which authorises compensation for loss of earnings and reimbursement of justifiable expenses.
- 18. The WHO Guiding Principles on Human Cell, Tissue and Organ Transplantation, endorsed by the 63rd World Health Assembly in May 2010 (Resolution WHA63.22), stipulate that "the prohibition on sale or purchase of cells, tissues and organs does not preclude reimbursing

reasonable and verifiable expenses incurred by the donor", and thus likewise permit the reimbursement of justifiable expenses.

19. Recommendation No. R (95) 14 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the protection of the health of donors and recipients in the area of blood transfu- sion contains the following definition of voluntary non-remunerated donation:

"Donation is considered voluntary and non-remunerated if the person gives blood, plasma or cellular components of his or her own free will and receives no payment for it, either in the form of cash or in kind which could be considered a substitute for money. This would include time off work other than that reasonably needed for the donation and travel. Small tokens, refreshments and reimbursements of direct travel costs are compatible with voluntary, non-remunerated donation".

- 20. The above legal instruments therefore call for financial neutrality for the living donor, and thus permit the direct reimbursement and compensation of costs related to a donation. Following the common practice in this field, the term "reimbursement" is used in this guide in relation to expenses (such as travel and other expenses incurred as a result of donation), whereas the term "compensation" is used in relation to the loss of earnings related to the donation.
- 21. The condition that reimbursement should be justifiable, and therefore accept- able, can easily be met in cases in which only those costs are reimbursed for which the donor can produce receipts, leading to the reimbursement of real costs. This is usually straightforward when reimbursing the cost of travel tickets, or other receipted incidental expenses.
- 22. Other costs incurred as a result of donation, such as lost earnings, the cost of care for dependents, or the cost of follow-up may be less straightforward to calculate. The overarching principle should be that donors should neither lose nor gain financially as a result of donating. In order to ensure that they are correctly compensated or reimbursed, donors should provide evidence of the losses or expenses actually incurred.
- 23. Where compensation is provided in the form of a fixedrate scheme, the conditions of its implementation must be provided for under national law, including the setting of an upper limit for compensation. If the upper limit is not specified by law, it should be established by an independent body set up in accordance with national law.
- 24. The fixed-rate compensation scheme must be transparent and must not act as an inducement to donate.
- 25. Measures should be in place to minimise the risk of harm to donors which may result from the donation

- scheme, such as national registers or traceability systems to limit how frequently a person can donate.
- 26. Reimbursement and compensation of living donors are directly connected to real expenses incurred and the real loss of earnings to the donor related to the donation procedure, including at the stage of donor screening and follow-up measures, even if the potential donor is not suitable for donation.
- 27. Reimbursement and compensation must never be connected to the donation as such, as the latter does not have a financial value attributed to it. In practice this means that reimbursement and compensation must not vary according to their final objective, be it for therapeutic or research purposes, nor according to the quality of what has been donated, or the outcome for the recipient.
- 28. Reimbursement and compensation should not lead to inappropriate competition (e.g. financially-driven competition) between establishments over donor recruitment, in particular in the context of fixed-rate compensation schemes.

Payment for the provision of medical or related technical services

- 29. The Additional Protocol to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin explicitly allows for the "payment of a justifiable fee for legitimate medical or related technical services rendered in connection with transplantation". The explanatory report specifies that this could include "the cost of retrieval, transport, preparation, preservation and storage..., which may legitimately give rise to reasonable remuneration".
- 30. The explanatory report to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine, with regard to Article 21, also gives examples of technical acts which can legitimately give rise to reasonable remuneration to cover costs, such as the "sampling, testing, pasteurisation, fractionation, purification, storage, culture, transport, etc." of related items.
- 31. Article 21 of the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine does not prohibit the trade, within the existing legal framework, in medicinal products and medical devices incorporating human tissue which have been subjected to a manufacturing process, as long as the tissue which is used as the starting material is not sold as such.
- 32. The remuneration and bonus systems within a hospital or donation centre for medical services related to the donation of parts of the human body from living or deceased donors should be comparable to payment for other services provided by the medical team within

that hospital or centre or comparable institutions within the member state. Thus, bonus payments linked to obtaining consent or authorisation for donation from the persons concerned are not permissible.

- 33. The fees related to technical services linked to the donation of the human body and its parts, as such, should not exceed operational costs, and should be comparable to those of similar technical services independent of their legal status within the member state. Fees may include, among other things, the cost of procurement, testing, processing, storage, distribution, personnel and transportation, infrastructure and administration, and the need to invest in state-of-theart processes and equipment to ensure the long-term sustainability of the services offered.
- 34. Providers of technical services should be obliged to be transparent in the calculation of their fees for services and in the financial management of their services in order to comply with the prohibition of financial gain, and thus support a donation system which donors and recipients can trust. This obligation of transparency applies also to parts of the human body, as such, used as starting materials for the development and/or preparation of cell-based therapies and medical devices.

Compensation in case of undue damage resulting from the donation

- 35. The Additional Protocol to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin allows donors to receive compensation for undue damage resulting from the removal, the occurrence of which is not a normal consequence of the related procedures. The Additional Protocol refers to "fair compensation according to the conditions and procedures prescribed by law".
- 36. The assessment of undue damage resulting from the donation relies on appropriate clinical follow-up of living donors and the monitoring of adverse reactions. Article 7 of the Additional Protocol to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin provides for medical follow-up measures for living donors, and the explanatory report states that "the nature and duration of such follow-up should depend on the nature of the intervention and its potential impact on the individual's health."
- 37. If the donation requires clinical follow-up measures (for example in case of organ donation or, where allowed, oocyte donation) donors for whom those measures cannot be guaranteed should be excluded from donation.

Acceptable measures for the promotion of donation in the light of the principle of prohibition of financial gain

- 38. Article 21 of the Additional Protocol to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin and Article 21 of the Convention against Trafficking in Human Organs, set out restrictions on promotion activities which make it clear that any attempt to advertise the need for, or availability of, organs or tissues with a view to offering or seeking financial or comparable advantage for any party, is prohibited.
- 39. However, promotion activities may be acceptable if the measures involved are "altruist-focused" measures, which are compatible with the prohibition of financial gain.
- 40. Altruist-focused measures include:
 - information about the need for the donation of human body parts for others' treatment or for medical research, which can include all forms of promotion campaigns, such as the European Day for Organ Donation and Transplantation or the World Blood Donor Day, or information on either governmental websites or websites of donation centres;
 - recognition of, and gratitude for, altruistic donation, through whatever methods are appropriate both for the form of donation and the donor concerned, such as letters of thanks to the donor's family where permissible and with due regard to privacy, inclusion in public memorials, and certificates for donors;
 - interventions to remove barriers and disincentives to donation experienced by those disposed to donate, such as reimbursement and compensation of real expenses and real loss of income or earnings related to the donation.
- 41 Non-altruist-focused measures which are not compatible with the prohibition of financial gain include:
 - interventions offering associated benefits in kind to encourage those who would not otherwise have contemplated to consider donating;
 - financial incentives that leave the donor in a better financial position as a result of donating.

Reference texts

Council of Europe

Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine (CETS No. 164), Article 21

www.coe.int/en/web/conventions/full-list/-/conventions/treaty/164

Additional Protocol concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin (CETS No. 186), Article 21 www.coe.int/en/web/conventions/full-list/conventions/treaty/186

Council of Europe Convention against Trafficking in Human Organs (CETS No. 216)

www.coe.int/en/web/conventions/full-list/-/conventions/treaty/216

Statement on the prohibition of any form of commercialisation of human organs, adopted by the DH-BIO and the CD-P-TO

 $www.coe.int/t/dg3/healthbioethic/Activities/05_Orga\\ n_transplantation_en/$

INF(2014)10%20e%20declaration.pdf

Statement by the Committee of Ministers on the prohibition of any form of com- mercialisation of human organs

https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?id=2215115&Site=CO E&BackColorInternet=C3C3

C3&BackColorIntranet=EDB021&BackColorLogged=F5 D383

Recommendation No. R (95) 14 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on the protection of the health of donors and recipients in the area of blood transfusion

https://rm.coe.int/CoERMPublicCommonSearchServices/ DisplayDCTMContent?do cumentId=09000016804da051

Guide of the European Committee on Transplantation of Organs (CD-P-TO) to the quality and safety of tissues and cells for human application www.edqm.eu/en/publications-transfusion-and-transplantation

Guide to the quality and safety of organs for transplantation, of the European Committee on Transplantation of Organs (CD-P-TO) www.edqm.eu/en/publications-transfusion-and-transplantation

Guide to the preparation, use and quality assurance of blood components, of the European Committee on Blood Transfusion (CD-P-TS)

www.edqm.eu/en/publications-transfusion-and-transplantation

European Union

Charter of Fundamental Rights, Article 3 www.europarl.europa.eu/charter/pdf/text_en.pdf

Directive 2002/98/EC setting standards of quality and safety for the collection, test- ing, processing, storage and distribution of human blood and blood components https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32002L0098&from=EN

Directive 2004/23/EC on setting standards of quality and safety for the donation, procurement, testing, processing, preservation, storage and distribution of human tissues and cells https://eur-

lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2004:10 2:0048:0058:en:PDF

Directive 2010/53/UE on standards of quality and safety of human organs intended for transplantation https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=LEGISSUM%3Asp0008

European Parliament resolution of 19 May 2010 on the Commission Communication: Action plan on Organ Donation and Transplantation (2009-2015): Strengthened Cooperation between member States www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//TEXT+TA+P7-TA-2010-0183+0+DOC+XML+V0//EN

World Health Organization

WHO Guiding Principles on Human Cell, Tissue and Organ Transplantation

www.who.int/transplantation/Guiding_PrinciplesTran splantation WHA63.22en.pdf

Principles on the donation and management of blood, blood components and other medical products of human origin, Principle 5 (report by the Secretariat presented to the World Health Assembly on 3 April 2017)

http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA70/A70_19-en.pdf

"Human Organ and Tissue Transplantation", statement of the 63rd World Health Assembly http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA63/A63_ R22-en.pdf

Others

Nuffield Council report on "Human Bodies: Donation for Medicine and Research"

http://nuffieldbioethics.org/project/donation/

The Declaration of Istanbul on Organ Trafficking and Transplant Tourism

www.declarationofistanbul.org/about-the-declaration/structure-and-content

Statement on the Global Kidney Exchange Concept

as adopted at the 21st meeting of the Council of Europe European Committee on Organ Transplantation (CD-P-TO) on 10 April 2018 (document PA/PH/TO (18) 3 DEF)

With the support of the Council of Europe Committee on Bioethics (DH-BIO)

In view of the large deficit of kidneys for transplantation compared with demand, many countries are also facilitating transplants from living donors to complement the supply of organs made available from deceased donor programmes.¹ Living kidney donation, based on universally accepted ethical and professional standards, is therefore assuming increasing importance.^{2,3,4,5} Donation between a living donor and their intended recipient was originally only possible in approximately 40% of potential pairings who presented for living donor transplantation since, after initial investigation, pairings could not proceed because of blood group differences or tissue typing antibody barriers, making the pair biologically incompatible. Kidney exchange programmes have emerged as a strategy to overcome these biological incompatibilities between patients in need of a kidney transplant and their genetically or emotionally related living donors.⁶ Kidney exchange programmes allow incompatible pairs to swap donors (kidneys) and thus form new compatible donor-recipient pairs. In such schemes each pairing has a symmetrical benefit with no imbalance, either financial or otherwise.

The concept of Global Kidney Exchange (GKE) has been recently proposed as a means to increase the number of pairs that can benefit from kidney exchange programmes in high-income countries (HIC).⁷ First, a

potential living donor pairing must be identified in a low/middle-income country (LMIC). They may be biologically compatible, but the transplant cannot take place because the pair cannot afford the procedure under their healthcare system. GKE proponents have coined a new term for this - "financial incompatibility". Through the GKE programmes, this pair would travel to the HIC and the recipient would be given access to a transplant, but only provided that their donor was able to facilitate a chain of transplants in patients from that HIC country. The proponents of these GKE programmes suggest the associated costs (pre-donation and pre-transplantation screening, travel, lodging, a lump sum of money for post-transplantation care costs in the LMIC, etc.) could be covered by the cost savings of transplantation as compared with dialysis in the HIC. A fixed lump sum would be made available for the care of the recipient and possibly for any problems the donor could experience once they returned to their country. However, this sum would only last for a limited time and there is no surety that it would be increased should there be any complications or recurrent problems in the pairing.

A pilot GKE programme has started in the United States, using donor-recipient pairs coming from Mexico and the Philippines.⁷

¹ Global Observatory on Organ Donation and Transplantation. Available at: http://www.transplant-observatory.org/. Accessed: February 2018.

² WHO Guiding Principles On Human Cell, Tissue And Organ Transplantation. Available at: http://www.who.int/transplantation/Guiding_PrinciplesTransplantation_WHA63.22en.pdf . Accessed: February 2018.

³ Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being with regard to the Application of Biology and Medicine: Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine. Available at: http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/en/Treaties/Html/164.htm . Accessed: February 2018.

⁴ Additional Protocol to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine concerning Transplantation of Organs and Tissues of Human Origin. Available at: http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/en/Treaties/Html/186.htm. Accessed: February 2018.

⁵ Directive 2010/53/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2010 on standards of quality and safety of human organs intended for transplantation. Available at: http://europa.eu/legislation_summaries/public_health/threats_to_health/sp0008_en.htm . Accessed: February 2018.

⁶ European Network for Collaboration on Kidney Exchange Programmes. Available at: http://www.enckep-cost.eu/.http://www.cost.eu/COST_Actions/ca/CA15210 Accessed: February 2018.

⁷ Rees MA, Dunn TB, Kuhr CS, Marsh CL, Rogers J, Rees SE, et al. Kidney Exchange to Overcome Financial Barriers to Kidney Transplantation. Am J Transplant 2017; 17(3): 782-790.

The Council of Europe Committee on Organ Transplantation (CD-P-TO) has carefully studied the GKE proposal and, with the support of the Council of Europe Committee on Bioethics (DH-BIO), concluded that:

- 1. Access to kidney exchange programmes on the basis of "financial incompatibilities" is inconsistent with the fundamental principle that "the human body and its parts shall not give rise, as such, to financial gain or comparable advantage", a principle enshrined in a number of international standards. ^{2,3,4,5,8} In this scenario, highly vulnerable patients in LMIC are given access to transplantation services only if they are able to provide a suitable donor kidney to the pool in the HIC, i.e., in exchange for making a kidney available, they receive substantial payment in kind, in the form of the cost of a procedure and medical therapy. ^{9,10} This would seem consistent with the definition of trafficking in human organs. ¹¹
- **2. GKE involves the commodification or alienation of donor-recipient pairs from LMIC.**^{1*} The selection and acceptance criteria into the programme is not based on humanitarian criteria, but on the usefulness of the donor from the LMIC for a recipient in the HIC, involving the minimum expense for the programme (e.g. financially incompatible pairs from HIC are not accepted in the programme as their post-transplantation costs would be higher than those of pairs in LMIC).¹²
- 3. GKE programmes entail severe risks of exploitation of individuals in LMIC. Patients in need of a transplant

and not able to access it due to financial and other reasons are highly vulnerable.¹³ This position may be abused (pressuring them to accept unfavourable offers) or prompt them to exploit their potential donors (who, for many reasons, may be vulnerable themselves). Although it is accepted that the supporters of GKE wish to put in place good governance to prevent abuse of the system, in reality, that guarantee would be difficult if not impossible to deliver, especially as the number of cases increased. In addition, for several reasons, the detection of possible cases of human trafficking for the purpose of organ removal and/or trafficking in human organs may be particularly difficult when evaluating and accepting non-resident living donors.^{14,15}

4. GKE does not guarantee appropriate long-term care of living donors and transplant recipients in LMIC. There is significant disparity in the long-term care provisions for the LMIC pairing and any of the HIC couples. While multiple international legal instruments and scientific recommendations emphasise the need to provide appropriate long-term follow-up of donors after the donation procedure, ^{4,5,8,15,16} GKE programmes foresee a lump sum of money to address the medical needs of the recipient from the LMIC once back in their country of origin. It is unclear whether these funds would also be made available to donors in the case of unexpected medical or psychosocial complications. Whatever the case, follow-up care is only guaranteed until this money runs out. This carries severe risks for both the recipient (who

^{*} The Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe held on 9 July 2014 reiterated in the Statement by the Committee of Ministers the prohibition of any form of commercialisation of human organs. The Committee emphasised: "the fundamental importance of that established principle for the protection of human dignity, which must be strictly respected in any regulation and procedures concerning the transplantation of human organs".

⁸ The Declaration of Istanbul on Organ Trafficking and Transplant Tourism. Available at: http://www.declarationofistanbul.org/. Accessed: February 2018.

⁹ Statement Of The Declaration Of Istanbul Custodian Group concerning ethical objections to the proposed Global Kidney Exchange program. Available at: http://declarationofistanbul.org/resources/policy-documents/795-statement-of-the-declaration-of-istanbul-custodian-group-concerning-ethical-objections-to-the-proposed-global-kidney-exchange-program. Accessed: February 2018.

¹⁰ Posicionamiento de la Red Consejo Iberoamericano de Donación y Trasplante sobre el proyecto Global Kidney Exchange. Available at: http://www.ont.es/publicaciones/Documents/NEWSLETTER%202014.pdf. Accessed: February 2018.

¹¹ Council of Europe Convention against Trafficking in Human Organs. Available at: https://www.coe.int/en/web/conventions/full-list/-/conventions/treaty/216. Accessed: February 2018.

¹² Krawiec KD and Rees MA. Reverse transplant tourism. Law and Contemp Probl 2014; 77: 145-173.

¹³ Wiseman AC and Gill JS. Financial Incompatibility and Paired Kidney Exchange: Walking a Tightrope or Blazing a Trail? Am J Transplant 2017; 17(3): 597-598.

¹⁴ Delmonico FL and Ascher NL. Opposition to irresponsible global kidney exchange. Am J Transplant 2017; 17(10): 2745-2746.

¹⁵ Resolution CM/Res(2017)1 on principles for the selection, evaluation, donation and follow-up of the non-resident living organ donors. Available at: https://www.edqm.eu/sites/default/files/cmres_2017_1-on_principles_for_selection_eval_donation_and_follow_up_of_nrld.pdf. Accessed: February 2018.

¹⁶ Delmonico F, Council of the Transplantation Society. A report of the Amsterdam Forum on the Care of the Live Kidney Donor: Data and Medical Guidelines. Transplantation 2005; 79 (6 Suppl): S53-S66.

will lose the graft in the absence of immunosuppression and appropriate follow-up) and the donor (who may end up suffering from serious medical complications and even losing their remaining kidney). GKE proponents also do not address who will be responsible or finance the treatment if either the donor or the recipient in the LMIC need a (re)transplantation. On the contrary, couples from HIC are guaranteed their long-term follow-up according to the standards of the health system of their HIC.

5. The GKE programmes may undermine local efforts to develop ethically sound transplant programmes in both the LMIC and the HIC, jeopardising their ability to strive for self-sufficiency in transplantation.¹⁷

Taking all these arguments into consideration, the CD-P-TO, with the support of the DH-BIO and in agreement with many others, 9,10,14 recommends member States of the Council of Europe, Health Authorities, hospitals and professionals not to engage in GKE as currently described, and hence not to consider the inclusion of "financially incompatible" donor-recipient pairs in any kidney exchange programme. To assist in addressing barriers to transplantation that arise from the difficulties in finding biologically compatible donors for certain recipients, member States should support the development of equitable kidney paired exchange programmes that do not exploit financial inequalities between pairs (or countries).

¹⁷ The Madrid Resolution on organ donation and transplantation: national responsibility in meeting the needs of patients, guided by the WHO principles. Transplantation 2011; 91 Suppl 11: S29-31.

Kidney Exchange Programmes in Europe

Position Paper of the Council of Europe European Committee on Organ Transplantation (CD-P-TO)

1. Introduction

Approximately one in a thousand European citizens suffers from end-stage renal disease¹. For suitable transplant candidates, living donor kidney transplantation (LDKT) offers better outcomes in terms of patient and graft survival, compared with deceased donor kidney transplantation. On average, around 40% of all kidney transplants worldwide are now performed using an organ from a living donor². Living donor programmes therefore contribute substantially to the expansion of the supply of donor organs. For this reason, many countries are encouraging the development of living donor programmes to compensate the limited availability of organs from deceased donors; in some countries, living donation is the only available source of organs for kidney transplantation. This, together with the ability to plan a transplant before dialysis is necessary, means that LDKT has become increasingly accepted as the treatment of choice for patients with end-stage renal disease.

However, in many European countries the percentage of living donor transplants is still considerably low. In 2016, the annual rate of LDKT in the EU varied from 0 to 33.2 pmp¹. These data suggest that by optimising the use of living kidney donors, overall kidney transplantation rates could be substantially increased in many European countries, yielding improved access to transplantation and better transplant outcomes. Another benefit is the reduction in dialysis costs.

Historically, LDKT was only an option between genetically related donor-recipient pairs (blood relatives). In the mid-1990s, evidence showed that kidneys from nongenetically related donors achieved comparable outcomes³. As a consequence, transplant programme providers started to consider a wider set of donors, with the aim of helping patients who lacked a compatible genetically related donor.

Donation between living donors and their intended recipient was originally only possible if the pairs were compatible; this occurs in approximately 60% of cases. Compatibility is defined on the basis of blood group (ABO) and human leukocyte antigen (HLA) type. Certain donorrecipient combinations of blood and HLA types will cause rejection of the transplanted organ by the recipient. In such cases, the donor-recipient pair is 'incompatible'. Hence, even when a patient finds a (genetically) related donor, incompatibility may still prevent LDKT. The introduction of kidney exchange programmes (KEPs) was an important next step to increase LDKT rates. ABOincompatible and/or HLA-incompatible donor-recipient pairs, for whom direct donation to the intended recipient is not possible, benefit from such programmes by forming new donor-recipient combinations through a special matching programme. KEPs match donors to recipients in optimal combinations for kidney exchange within the pool of available incompatible pairs.

This paper covers three key areas: first, an overview of the development and features of KEPs – including ethical and legal conditions – is given; this is followed by a discussion of general organisational aspects and the final sections detail challenges and conclusions. Some of the information described in this paper was collected from a survey to members of the Committee of Transplantation of the Council of Europe (CD-P-TO), a further survey carried out by the European Cooperation in Science & Technology (COST) European Network for Collaboration on Kidney Exchange Programmes (ENCKEP) and from the outcomes summarised in the first ENCKEP Handbook⁴.

2. Kidney Exchange Programmes

In 2004, the first national KEP in Europe was established in the Netherlands⁵. Several European countries have since independently developed KEPs to address

¹ International figures on donation and transplantation 2016. Newsletter Transplant 2017; 22.

² WHO Global Observatory on Donation and Transplantation [Available at: http://www.transplant-observatory.org]

³ Terasaki PI, Cecka JM, Gjertson DW, Takemoto S. High survival rates of kidney transplants from spousal and living unrelated donors. New Engl J Med 1995; 333(6): 333-336.

⁴ Biro P, Burnapp L, Haase-Kromwijk BJ, Hemke A, Johnson R, van de Klundert, J, Manlove D. Kidney Exchange Practices in Europe, First Handbook of the COST Action CA15210: European Network for Collaboration on Kidney Exchange Programmes (ENCKEP) 2017 [More information available at: http://www.enckep-cost.eu/]

⁵ de Klerk M, Witvliet MD, Haase-Kromwijk BJ, Claas FH, Weimar W. A highly efficient living donor kidney exchange program for both blood type and crossmatch incompatible donor-recipient combinations. Transplantation 2006; 82(12): 1616-1620

incompatibility issues (see **Figure 1**)⁶. The survey among CD-P-TO members showed that 10 European countries are currently operating KEPs (Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, France, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Spain, The Netherlands and United Kingdom) and a further 4 countries [Greece, Slovakia, Sweden, (along with Denmark and Norway under the Scandiatransplant Kidney Exchange Program, or STEP) and Switzerland] were interested in launching programmes. This information was corroborated by a second, more extensive survey by the ENCKEP⁴.

Established KEPs aim to increase the possibilities for LDKT between incompatible pairs and offer an alternative to antibody removal for immunologically complex patients (i.e., HLA and/or ABO incompatible patients)^{5,7}. While KEPs have contributed significantly to LDKT rates, they often struggle to become and stay effective in countries where the pool sizes are small and hence exchange options are limited. Small population size, legal constraints, ethical concerns and fragmentation of KEP pools within a country are the main barriers to be overcome. As a result, potential recipients may be disadvantaged.

In practice, this means that programmes differ in their organisation⁶. Some countries have scaled up to a national programme, while others retain a regional or single-centre approach. In most countries, the organs travel from the donor's to the recipient's centre, but in others the donor travels. Moreover, there is variation in

the organisation of matching with regard to selection and inclusion of donor-recipient pairs, the frequency of the matching runs and the optimisation criteria. The proportion of transplants achieved as a result of KEPs accounts for between 0 and more than 20% of overall LDKT activity *per* country.

Legal and Ethical Considerations

As with the practice of living donation in general, safeguarding the interests of the individual who wishes to donate is a fundamental aspect of KEPs⁸. The risk-benefit analysis for the healthy donor and the patient in need of a transplant should take into account the direct benefit (or lack of it) to the donor compared with the potential benefit for the transplanted recipient. This includes the likely benefit for donors concerned for the wellbeing of their intended recipients derived from the close relationship between the two of them.

KEPs facilitate a form of *indirect* donation in which the relationship between donor and recipient is reciprocal, i.e. all donors donate a kidney and all of their intended recipients receive a transplant. However, the transaction is not *directly* between the donor and their intended recipient, which may be an additional barrier to success.

This process requires even more stringent donor (and recipient) assessment than direct living donation. A KEP is only possible if the national legislation allows living




Figure 1. Development of European Kidney Exchange Programmes by Country (source: First Handbook of the COST Action⁴).

⁶ Biró P, Haase-Kromwijk BJ, Andersson T, Ásgeirsson El, Baltesová T, Boletis I, et al. Building kidney exchange programmes in Europe – An overview of exchange practice and activities. Transplantation 2018 (in press).

⁷ de Klerk M, Haase-Kromwijk BJ, Claas FH, Witvliet M, Weimar W. Living donor kidney exchange for both ABO-incompatible and crossmatch positive donor-recipient combinations. Transplant Proc 2006; 38(9): 2793-2795.

⁸ Guide for the implementation of the principles of prohibition of financial gain with respect to the human body and its parts from living or deceased donors, DH-BIO, Council of Europe, 2018 [Available at: https://rm.coe.int/guide-financial-gain/16807bfc9a]

organ donation between non-blood- or emotionally related donor-recipient pairs, or if the legislation explicitly allows for cross-donation through a KEP.

LDKT offers the recipient the best chance of a successful transplant outcome. However, there is always a risk that a donor withdraws his/her consent or is unable to proceed to donation for a medical reason at a late stage – or, rarely, even on the day of surgery itself – leaving the recipient without the anticipated transplant. To reduce this risk, the majority of KEPs conduct simultaneous procedures to avoid the possibility that a recipient does not receive a kidney once his/her intended donor has already donated to the other pair.

Anonymity between recipients and their new donors is also considered essential in most European KEPs before the transplant procedure and is recommended afterwards. Anonymity between the members of the new pairs diminishes the risk of potential coercion (or the seeking of payback/profit). Furthermore, it reduces the possibility of donation refusal in cases where the donor does not like the potential exchange recipient.

In the context of KEPs, this risk is increased by involving multiple donors and recipients in any one exchange. Donor-recipient pairs may be reluctant to participate in a KEP because of emotional anxieties about the donation not being made *directly* to the intended recipient and logistical concerns (e.g. the donor travelling to another centre for surgery; impact of cold ischaemia if the kidney travels between centres; lack of confidence in the system). Policies to minimise distress (e.g. when an exchange collapses) and to give priority for transplantation to recipients who miss out during the course of an exchange (i.e. once donor and recipient surgery is underway), as well as specific informed consent for kidney paired exchange could help to motivate pairs to participate in the programme.

There is ethical consensus that donations of organs by living donors are to be voluntary and unpaid. However, the principle of non-payment does not prevent living donors from receiving reimbursement for legitimate expenses and loss of income related to the donation⁸.

Conditions for a Successful Kidney Exchange Programme

Taking into account the legal and ethical principles, current practice and experience shows that there are certain prerequisites for establishing a successful KEP⁹.

The first condition is that there is a legal framework in place that permits non-direct donation. Subsequently, a transparent structure should be created that includes standard operational procedures. This can be achieved if KEPs are organised based on protocols, clinical standards

and operating procedures agreed by the stakeholders involved. Among these stakeholders are participating transplant centres, histocompatibility and immunogenetics laboratories, a central/regional/local coordination team and donor-recipient pairs. Central coordination to oversee identified pairs, perform matching runs, collect follow-up data and ensure mechanisms are in place to minimise the risk of identified transplants not proceeding due to the collapse of an exchange are essential. Hence, much attention has been focused on evidence-based, complete and up-to-date screening of both donors and recipients to establish their clinical, immunological and psychosocial status. Since confidence in the system is fundamental, it helps when all professional partners in the system know each other and are familiar with each other's working methods.

In contrast to direct living kidney donation, the behaviour of participating donors and recipients affects not only their individual interests but also those of other donor-recipient pairs in the KEP, especially if they decide not to proceed after an exchange has been identified. Whilst it is impossible to predict every eventuality (e.g. change of donor circumstances or unforeseen recipient illness), KEPs should aim to be able to address reasons for non-proceeding transplants that could have been foreseen by anticipating possible solutions. The impact of a high non-procedure rate may be significant: distress to donors and recipients, loss of confidence in the KEP and reduced participation.

3. Organisational Aspects

A KEP requires a multidisciplinary and, in most cases, a multi-centre approach. Therefore, KEPs must define a structure to coordinate and monitor all activities which can be national, regional or centre-based. The key components of effective KEPs are⁴:

a) Medical, Psychological, Social, Legal and Ethical Frameworks FOR DONOR AND RECIPIENT CARE — to ensure consistent, high quality, safe clinical practice in line with international standards and best practice guidelines. Special considerations include anonymity requirements, indirect donation and reciprocity, management of identified transplant procedures that cannot proceed, and management/listing of recipients for transplantation who may miss out within the KEP. Organisation of donor and recipient follow-up should also be carefully considered.

b) INFORMATION FOR PATIENTS – the options for LDKT, individual donor and recipient risks and benefits should be presented clearly and at an early stage to maximise opportunities for timely, successful transplantation/re-transplantation.

⁹ de Klerk M, Weimar W. Ingredients for a successful living donor kidney exchange program. Transplantation 2008; 86(4): 511-512.

c) Technical Standards for Living Kidney Transplantation – equitable clinical and surgical expertise to ensure consistent quality of care for all donor and recipient pairs must be guaranteed.

d) CAPACITY AND CAPABILITY – a sufficient and appropriately trained multidisciplinary workforce should be established. In particular, clinical and scientific expertise, and central coordination are needed. Immunological testing is central to successful KEPs and must be performed by accredited histocompatibility and immunogenetics laboratories using standardised testing and reference criteria in every laboratory for every donor and recipient pair. Central coordination by dedicated living donor coordinators in nephrology and transplant centres is effective in supporting donors, recipients and family members throughout the process of donation and transplantation.

e) FINANCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE – LDKT is a cost-effective treatment for ESRD compared with dialysis, offering significant financial savings to the health economy. Sustainable funding through state or privately funded insurance arrangements is necessary to support national LDKT and KEPs. Clinical and personnel costs associated with the coordination and management of national programmes, together with the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses and loss of earnings of the living donor, are the responsibility of the respective governments in participating countries.

f) TRUST – Trust is fundamental to a successful KEP; trust between the partners who have to work within the framework and between the donor-recipient pairs in the system.

4. Challenges for Kidney Exchange Programmes

KEPs are acknowledged as an effective solution to overcome immunological incompatibility and, in some countries, they offer opportunities to improve HLA- or age-matching between compatible donor-recipient pairs. However, the main challenges to maintaining and extending programmes are the limited pool of donor-recipient pairs at the start of a scheme, a decreasing pool in terms of quantity or diversity of pairs (e.g. increased numbers of blood group O and/or highly HLA-sensitised recipients) and the availability of other (competitive) options for incompatible pairs, such as antibody removal for both ABO and HLA incompatibility, despite poorer outcomes.

Effective KEPs increase the opportunities for patients, particularly those with immunological complexity, to receive a compatible transplant, which is almost always the preferred option. In countries with permissive legal frameworks, supportive policies and established KEPs, the patient benefits – especially for those who are very difficult to match - of the KEP can be further enhanced by the inclusion of donor-recipient compatible pairs (e.g. for improved HLA- or age-matching, or for the greater good) and unspecified (non-directed altruistic) living kidney donors to augment the pool. Experience suggests that such a strategy maximises the benefit for all donors and recipients involved, including recipients on the national transplant list with no living donor of their own. As well as utilising unspecified living donors to initiate a chain of transplants within their KEPs, a few countries are considering using deceased donor kidneys to achieve a similar outcome (as reported by national representatives in response to the COST survey). Extending the KEP pool by allowing international exchange is another very practical and obvious solution. However, this would require fine-tuning of protocols and extra attention to donor-recipient pair screening to avoid any possibility of enhancing medical tourism or even organ trafficking.

5. Conclusions

Due to organ shortages, many countries are investing in living donor programmes, which are often the best option for patients in need of a kidney transplant. Since around 40% of living donors are incompatible with their intended recipient, KEPs offer an alternative to help overcome HLA- and ABO-incompatibilities. To achieve this goal, pools of donor-recipient pairs are created to generate alternative pairs of possible matches. KEPs provide an excellent opportunity to extend existing living donor programmes. However, since KEPs are a form of indirect donation, conditions to develop and maintain effective and safe programmes must be implemented. A KEP should include a sound and transparent (nation/regional) organisation which takes care of the needs of the recipient and donor, taking into account all ethical and legal considerations. The organisation of a KEP requires transparent protocols and efficient and trust-based cooperation between the multidisciplinary teams of professionals. Within Europe, the further development of KEPs should take place within the framework of the Council of Europe resolutions on living donation^{10,11} and the EU legal framework¹².

¹⁰ Council of Europe Resolution CM/Res(2013)56 on the development and optimisation of live kidney donation programmes [Available at: http://www.edqm.eu/sites/default/files/medias/fichiers/resolution_cmres201356_on_the_development_and_optimisation_of_live_kidney_donation_programmes.pdf]

¹¹ Council of Europe Resolution CM/Res (2017)1 on principles for the selection, evaluation, donation and follow-up of the non-resident living organ donors [Available at: http://edqm.eu/sites/default/files/cmres_2017_1-on_principles_for_selection_eval_donation_and_follow_up_of_nrld.pdf]

¹² EU directive 2010/53/EU (art 13-14,15,20) [Available at: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:32010L0053]

Signatures and Ratifications of the Council of Europe Convention against Trafficking in Human Organs (September, 2018)

Signatures

24/01/2018
25/03/2015
25/03/2015
16/04/2018
25/03/2015
08/10/2015
25/03/2015
30/03/2017
25/03/2015
16/02/2018
25/03/2015
25/03/2015
24/09/2015
25/03/2015
10/11/2016
25/03/2015
11/09/2017
25/03/2015


Ratifications

Albania	06/06/2016
Czech Republic	21/09/2017
Malta	07/11/2017
Norway	12/09/2017
Republic of Moldova	21/06/2017

Signature
Ratification

^{*} Non-member states of the Council of Europe







HEALTH MATTERS: INFORMATION BOOKLETS FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC



European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines & HealthCare (EDQM)



Members of the European Committee (Partial Agreement) on Organ Transplantation (CD-P-TO)(29/03/2018)

SERBIA DOMÍNGUEZ-GIL Beatriz LONCAR Zlatibor **SLOVAK REPUBLIC** Vice-Chair ZILINSKA Zuzana KAMIŃSKI Artur **SLOVENIA AVSEC Danica** Secretariat **SPAIN** LÓPEZ FRAGA Marta **GAYOSO CRUZ Jorge** LOMERO Mar PEREZ BLANCO Alicia SANCHEZ Ahlem **SWEDEN** STROM Helena Memhers **SWITZERLAND MOREL Philippe AUSTRIA ZUCKERMANN Andreas** IMMER Franz **WOREL Nina** VOLZ Alexandra **BELGIUM** THE NETHERLANDS COLENBIE Luc VANDEWALLE Giovani HAASE-KROMWIJK Bernadette **BOKHORST Arlinke BULGARIA** TURKEY DOITCHINOVA-SIMEONOVA Maryana **ILBARS** Tuna AVRAMOVA Boryana ATES Utku **CROATIA UKRAINE BUSIC Mirela** NIKONENKO Oleksandr ANUSIC JURICIC Martina **NYKONENKO** Andriy **GOLUBIC** Cepulic CYPRUS MICHAEL Nicolaos **UNITED KINGDOM** DARK John **CZECH REPUBLIC** ADAMEC Miloš Observers **DENMARK ILKJAER Lars ARMENIA ESTONIA** SARKISSIAN Ashot **DMITRIEV** Peeter **DAGHBASHYAN Smbat** KAARE Ain **BELARUS FINLAND RUMMO Oleg** MAKISALO Heikki LIASHCHUK Siarhei FRANCE FRANCARRABAL Samuel AGBANYO Francisca SAINTE-MARIE Isabelle **DH-BIO (BIOETHICS COMMITTEE, COUNCIL OF GERMANY** EUROPE) SIEPMANN Claudia HALILA Ritva RAHMEL Axel **TONJES Ralf Reinhard DTI FOUNDATION GREECE** MANYALICH Marti **BOLETIS Ioannis EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION OF TISSUE BANKS HUNGARY MIHALY Sandor** SANCHEZ IBANEZ Jacinto **ICELAND HENNERBICHLER Simone HEIMISDOTTIR Jorlaug EUROPEAN COMMISSION IRELAND** PUCINSKAITE-KUBIK Ingrida EGAN Jim **FEHILY Deirdre** SHERIDAN Gerard **EUROPEAN EYE BANK ASSOCIATION (EEBA)** ARMITAGE John NANNI COSTA Alessandro **EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR ORGAN** COZZI Emanuele TRANSPLANTATION (ESOT) PORTA Eliana **CARELLA Claudia FORSYTHE John** MORRESI Assunta **BERNEY Thierry** LATVIA **EUROTRANSPLANT** JUSINSKIS Janis **BRANGER Peter LEJNIECE Sandra GEORGIA LITHUANIA TOMADZE** Gia **BUZIUVIENNE** Audrone **HOLY SEE LUXEMBURG** Mgr RUDELLI Paolo REMY Philippe **ISRAEL** MALTA **ASHKENAZI** Tamar ZARB ADAMI Joseph ABELA Carmel **RUSSIAN FEDERATION** CALLEJA Paul GABBASOVA Lyalya **MONTENEGRO** NIKOLAEV German **RATKOVIC Marina SCANDIATRANSPLANT NORWAY** ERICZON Bo-Göran **HAGNESS Morten SOUTH ALLIANCE FOR TRANSPLANTATION (SAT) POLAND IMMER Franz** CZERWINSKI Jaroslaw PORTUGAL THE TRANSPLANTATION SOCIETY (TTS) FRANCA Ana **DELMONICO** Francis **BOLOTINHA** Catarina **KUYPERS Dirk** PIRES DA SILVA Ana **UNITED NETWORK FOR ORGAN SHARING (UNOS)** REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA PRUETT Timothy CODREANU Igor TIMBALARI Tatiana WITTEN Celia **ROMANIA**

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO) NUÑEZ Jose Ramón CHATZIXIROS Efstratios

ZOTA Victor-Gheorhe TURCU Rosana Maria Cristina

DRAGOMIRISTEANU Aurora







go.edqm.eu/dl

EDQM's printed publications may be ordered from www.edqm.eu/store

ISSN 2171-4118 / NIPO: 691-15-002-5

Free copies of the paper version of this Newsletter Transplant can be ordered at https://store.edqm.eu





